



**University of
Nottingham**
UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA

Student Data Report 2020-2021

Report Produced: January 2022

Content

Glossary.....	4
1. Student Profile Data	5
Overview.....	5
Gender.....	5
Faculty of Arts.....	5
Faculty of Engineering	6
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences.....	7
Faculty of Science.....	8
Faculty of Social Sciences	9
Disability	10
Faculty of Arts.....	10
Faculty of Engineering	11
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences.....	12
Faculty of Science.....	13
Faculty of Social Sciences	14
BAME	15
Faculty of Arts.....	15
Faculty of Engineering	16
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences.....	17
Faculty of Science.....	18
Faculty of Social Sciences	19
International	20
Faculty of Arts.....	20
Faculty of Engineering	21
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences.....	22
Faculty of Science.....	23

Faculty of Social Sciences	24
2. Comparison with other universities.....	26
Overview	26
Gender	26
University of Nottingham	26
Universities of East Midlands	28
Higher Education National Data	28
Disabilities	28
University of Nottingham	28
Universities of East Midlands	30
Higher Education National Data	30
BAME	30
University of Nottingham	30
Universities of East Midlands	32
Higher Education National Data	32
International	32
University of Nottingham	32
Universities of East Midlands	34
Higher Education National Data	34

Glossary

HC	Headcount
%	Percentage
BAME	Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Group
Qualification level	
• UG	Undergraduate
• PGT	Post graduate teaching
• PGT	Post graduate research
Unknown	Data may not have been completed or may have been completed as 'prefer not to say'
Home	UK students
EU	European students
OS	Overseas students

1. Student Profile Data

Overview

The student profile data is based on data from the last three academic years: 2017/2018, 2018/2019 and 2019/2020. The data has come from the University Data Set (THUDS) - database UNUK Student Population Explorer.

Figures are given by percentages of the total headcount. The numbers of headcount and percentage are also shown in the tables provided. Data in graphs are only provided for student groups with a large enough representation (>10). When figures are less than 10, numbers are provided only in the tables.

The data is provided by faculty: Arts, Engineering, Medicine and Health Sciences, Science and Social Sciences.

In the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, there is the label “*** *Not available*”. These students are from ARC (nursing’s student record system) and they are nursing students for which there is traditionally limited data and accounts for the “*** *Not available*” labels to fill in some of the blanks. This data is not usually used for reports but is presented as a headcount for the UNUK Student Population Explorer.

Gender


Faculty of Arts

The gender balance for the Faculty of Arts at the University in 2020/2021 remains largely unchanged. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Arts are female, especially in UG and PGT. In PGR there is a fairly even gender balance.

Table 1.1 Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	2742	66	1380	33	2	0.05			4124	100
	PGT	344	67	165	32			3	0.59	512	100
	PGR	150	55	120	44			1	0.37	271	100
2019/2020	UG	2755	67	1366	33				0.00	4121	100
	PGT	323	68	148	31			1	0.21	472	100
	PGR	141	56	109	43			1	0.40	251	100
2020/2021	UG	2720	66	1425	34	0		0	0.00	4145	100
	PGT	290	67	142	33	0		1	0.23	433	100
	PGR	155	57	114	42	0		1	0.37	270	100

Figure 1.2: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Engineering

The gender percentages for the Faculty of Engineering at the University in 2020/2021 remains largely unchanged. The data reveals an unbalance between female and male students where male students have a large majority, especially in PGR and UG. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of female students.

Table 1.3: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	1026	29	2554	71	3580	100
	PGT	161	30	383	70	544	100
	PGR	160	27	430	73	590	100
2019/2020	UG	1098	28	2771	72	3869	100
	PGT	198	33	400	67	598	100
	PGR	140	28	366	72	506	100
2020/2021	UG	1212	28	3047	71	4263	100
	PGT	132	33	268	67	401	100
	PGR	158	27	427	73	587	100

Figure 1.4: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences at the University in 2020/2021 remains largely unchanged in comparison with 2019/2020. The number of female students in UG and PGR increased between 1% in UG up to 2% in PGR. There is a 2% decrease on PGT. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences are female students.

Table 1.5: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	2578	65	1379	35	1	0.03	3958	100
	PGT	589	72	234	28			823	100
	PGR	504	61	318	39			822	100
2019/2020	UG	3637	71	1451	29			5088	100
	PGT	779	76	251	24			1030	100
	PGR	446	64	253	36			699	100
2020/2021	UG	4079	72	1585	28			5667	100
	PGT	760	74	263	26			1025	100
	PGR	515	66	262	34			777	100

Figure 1.6: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Science

The gender balance for the Faculty of Science at the University in 2020/2021 remains largely unchanged, with a small increase in male students in PGT and PGR. There is a fairly even gender balance at an institutional level.

Table 1.7: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	2794	48	2969	52	2	0.03			5765	100
	PGT	279	56	219	44			1	0.20	499	100
	PGR	408	46	473	54					881	100
2019/2020	UG	2844	49	3001	51	1	0.02			5846	100
	PGT	337	53	299	47			1	0.16	637	100
	PGR	431	49	456	51					887	100
2020/2021	UG	3093	49	3162	51	1	0.02	1	0.02	6257	100
	PGT	270	46	318	54			2	0.34	590	100
	PGR	420	44	527	56			2	0.21	949	100

Figure 1.8: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Social Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Social Sciences at the University in 2020/2021 remains largely unchanged. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Social Sciences in PGT and PGR are female. In UG there is a fairly even gender balance.

Table1.9: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	2870	48	3127	52	5997	100
	PGT	2606	67	1299	33	3905	100
	PGR	189	54	161	46	350	100
2019/2020	UG	2960	48	3160	52	6120	100
	PGT	2439	68	1165	32	3604	100
	PGR	210	58	149	42	359	100
2020/2021	UG	3157	48	3408	52	6565	100
	PGT	1806	66	913	34	2719	100
	PGR	218	55	182	46	400	100

Figure 1.10: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Disability


Faculty of Arts

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Arts decreased significantly in 2020/2021 in UG (3%), PGT (8%) and PGR (12%). The faculty of Arts is the faculty with the highest percentage of disabled students in UG.

Table 1.11: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	3358	81	766	19	4124	100
	PGT	430	84	83	16	513	100
	PGR	217	80	54	20	271	100
2019/2020	UG	3334	81	787	19	4121	100
	PGT	406	86	66	14	472	100
	PGR	198	79	53	21	251	100
2020/2021	UG	3498	84	647	16	4145	100
	PGT	407	94	26	6	433	100
	PGR	247	91	23	9	270	100

Figure 1.12: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Engineering

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Engineering is significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. After an increase of the number of disabled students in 2018/2019 in PGR, the percentage has decreased in 2019/2020 and in 2020/2021. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of disabled students.

Table 1.13: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	3176	89	404	11	3580	100
	PGT	519	95	25	5	544	100
	PGR	533	90	57	10	590	100
2019/2020	UG	3440	89	429	11	3869	100
	PGT	567	95	30	5	597	100
	PGR	469	93	38	7	507	100
2020/2021	UG	3832	90	431	10	4263	100
	PGT	384	96	17	4	401	100
	PGR	559	95	28	5	587	100

Figure 1.14: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences is lower than the number of students without a disability. The percentage of students with a disability decreased in 2020/2021 in all the levels of study but PGT in comparison with 2019/2020.

Table 1.15: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	3283	83	675	17	3958	100
	PGT	699	85	124	15	823	100
	PGR	726	88	96	12	822	100
2019/2020	UG	4272	84	816	16	5088	100
	PGT	912	89	118	11	1030	100
	PGR	621	89	78	11	699	100
2020/2021	UG	4878	86	789	14	5667	100
	PGT	915	89	110	11	1025	100
	PGR	725	93	52	7	777	100

Figure 1.16: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Science

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Science is lower than the number of students without a disability. In addition, the proportion of disabled students decreased in 2020/2021, except for PGT students where it remained the same as 2019/2020.

Table 1.17: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	4970	86	796	14	5766	100
	PGT	439	88	61	12	500	100
	PGR	767	87	114	13	881	100
2019/2020	UG	5061	87	785	13	5846	100
	PGT	585	92	53	8	638	100
	PGR	758	85	129	15	887	100
2020/2021	UG	5551	89	706	11	6257	100
	PGT	541	92	49	8	590	100
	PGR	889	94	60	6	949	100

Figure 1.18: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Social Sciences

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Social Sciences continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. Together with the Faculty of Engineering, the Faculty of Social Sciences is the faculty with the lower percentage of disabled students.

Table 1.19: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	5247	87	750	13	5997	100
	PGT	3635	93	271	7	3906	100
	PGR	319	91	31	9	350	100
2019/2020	UG	5381	88	738	12	6119	100
	PGT	3406	94	201	6	3607	100
	PGR	322	90	37	10	359	100
2020/2021	UG	5871	89	694	11	6565	100
	PGT	2594	95	131	5	2725	100
	PGR	378	95	22	6	400	100

Figure 1.20: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



BAME

It should be noted that there is a high number of “Not Known” values in 2019/2020 for Ethnicity due to data quality issues regarding ethnicity data in Campus Solutions for 2019/20. This is significant, especially in PGT data.


Faculty of Arts

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Arts is lower than the number of white students. There is no data (Not known) only for a very small proportion of students. However, the proportion of BAME students is slowly increasing throughout the years.

Table 1.21: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	833	20	3247	79	43	1	4123	100
	PGT	139	27	352	69	21	4	512	100
	PGR	50	18	208	77	13	5	271	100
2019/2020	UG	832	20	3164	77	125	3	4121	100
	PGT	105	22	308	65	59	13	472	100
	PGR	52	21	178	71	21	8	251	100
2020/2021	UG	958	23	3141	76	48	1	4147	100
	PGT	126	29	284	66	23	5	433	100
	PGR	57	21	192	71	21	8	270	100

Figure 1.22: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Engineering

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Engineering is higher than the number of white students in PGT and PGR. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the highest percentage of BAME students.

Table 1.23: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	1576	44	1952	55	52	1	3580	100
	PGT	416	77	107	20	20	4	543	100
	PGR	300	51	269	46	21	4	590	100
2019/2020	UG	1402	36	2049	53	418	11	3869	100
	PGT	375	63	106	18	116	19	597	100
	PGR	268	53	197	39	42	8	507	100
2020/2021	UG	1853	43	2325	55	86	2	4264	100
	PGT	277	69	118	29	6	1	401	100
	PGR	327	56	229	39	31	5	587	100

Figure 1.24: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences has remained stable for the past three years especially in PGR. There is a slight increase in UG and PGT.

Table 1.25: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	1128	28	2790	70	40	1	3958	100
	PGT	263	32	557	68	3	0	823	100
	PGR	336	41	469	57	17	2	822	100
2019/2020	UG	1478	29	3450	68	161	3	5089	100
	PGT	348	34	600	58	82	8	1030	100
	PGR	273	39	381	55	45	6	699	100
2020/2021	UG	1783	31	3807	67	77	1	5667	100
	PGT	382	37	621	61	22	2	1025	100
	PGR	300	39	447	58	30	4	777	100

Figure 1.26: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Science

'Not Known' data is significant for all levels of study especially in 2019/2020. This makes it difficult to compare the real percentage of BAME students with the previous year.

Table 1.27: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	2011	35	3701	64	54	1	5766	100
	PGT	265	53	219	44	16	3	500	100
	PGR	250	28	597	68	34	4	881	100
2019/2020	UG	1740	30	3593	61	512	9	5845	100
	PGT	331	52	195	31	111	17	637	100
	PGR	225	25	568	64	94	11	887	100
2020/2021	UG	2335	37	3781	60	141	2	6257	100
	PGT	346	59	228	39	16	3	590	100
	PGR	263	28	626	66	60	6	949	100

Figure 1.28: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Social Sciences

As for the Faculty of Science also in the Faculty of Social Sciences, the 'Not Known' data is significant for all levels of study in 2019/2020. This makes it difficult to compare the real percentage of BAME students.

Table 1.29: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	2380	40	3561	59	56	1	5997	100
	PGT	2213	57	1567	40	126	3	3906	100
	PGR	153	44	179	51	18	5	350	100
2019/2020	UG	2196	36	3524	58	399	7	6119	100
	PGT	1847	51	1034	29	726	20	3607	100
	PGR	146	41	179	50	34	9	359	100
2020/2021	UG	2689	41	3800	58	76	1	6565	100
	PGT	1318	48	1297	48	109	4	2724	100
	PGR	186	47	187	47	27	7	400	100

Figure 1.30: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



International


Faculty of Arts

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Arts (both European students and overseas students) has remained stable in the past three years. In UG the large majority are Home students, while in PGT the proportion between home and international is well balanced (with a percentage of overseas students higher than European students). In 2020/2021, the PGR majority are still home students but there is around 28% who are international students.

Table 1.31: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	3843	93	105	3	175	4	4123	100
	PGT	285	56	47	9	181	35	513	100
	PGR	186	69	35	13	50	18	271	100
2019/2020	UG	3856	94	88	2	177	4	4121	100
	PGT	249	53	43	9	180	38	472	100
	PGR	175	70	23	9	53	21	251	100
2020/2021	UG	3872	93	88	2	187	5	4147	100
	PGT	242	56	41	9	150	35	433	100
	PGR	194	72	24	9	51	19	269	100

Figure 1.32: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Engineering

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Engineering (both European students and overseas students) has slightly changed in the past three years. In 2020/2021 there is a slight increase of Home students. In UG the majority is Home students, while in PGT and PGR the large majority is International students, even if there has been an increase of Home Students in PGT. The Faculty of Engineering is the faculty with a higher percentage of International students. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 1.33: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	2499	70	163	5	918	26	3580	100
	PGT	101	19	34	6	409	75	544	100
	PGR	206	35	101	17	283	48	590	100
2019/2020	UG	2829	73	160	4	880	23	3869	100
	PGT	106	18	36	6	455	76	597	100
	PGR	151	30	83	16	273	54	507	100
2020/2021	UG	3284	77	156	4	820	19	4260	100
	PGT	117	29	27	7	258	64	402	100
	PGR	194	33	91	16	298	51	583	100

Figure 1.34: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The proportion of Home students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences continues to be smaller in UG where the International students are only 7%. The proportion between Home and International students is slightly less different in PGT than in UG, while in PGR there is a more fairly balance proportion. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 1.35: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	3566	90	127	3	264	7	3957	100
	PGT	630	77	48	6	144	18	822	100
	PGR	471	57	99	12	252	31	822	100
2019/2020	UG	4683	92	129	3	277	5	5089	100
	PGT	759	74	57	6	214	21	1030	100
	PGR	413	59	73	10	213	30	699	100
2020/2021	UG	5261	93	114	2	291	5	5666	100
	PGT	799	80	50	5	145	15	994	100
	PGR	471	61	72	9	226	29	769	100

Figure 1.36: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Faculty of Science


The proportion of Home students and international students in the Faculty of Science (both European students and overseas students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority are Home students (around 79%).

In 2020/2021, there has been a decrease of around 10% of PGT International students (especially overseas students). The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 1.37: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	4662	81	238	4	866	15	5766	100
	PGT	230	46	33	7	237	47	500	100
	PGR	527	60	150	17	204	23	881	100
2019/2020	UG	4681	80	202	3	963	16	5846	100
	PGT	226	35	43	7	369	58	638	100
	PGR	571	64	120	14	196	22	887	100
2020/2021	UG	4965	79	207	3	1079	17	6251	100
	PGT	271	46	40	7	279	47	590	100
	PGR	616	65	115	12	216	23	947	100

Figure 1.38: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Faculty of Social Sciences

The proportion of Home students and international students in the Faculty of Social Sciences (both European students and overseas students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority are Home students (around 80%).

In PGT, the International students represent the majority, whilst in PGR there is a well balance proportion between the Home and the international students with a slight majority of International students.


The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 1.39: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	4785	80	292	5	920	15	5997	100
	PGT	1213	31	293	8	2399	61	3905	100
	PGR	158	45	43	12	149	43	350	100
2019/2020	UG	4925	80	273	4	921	15	6119	100
	PGT	1015	28	239	7	2353	65	3607	100
	PGR	153	43	39	11	167	47	359	100

2020/2021	UG	5328	81	238	4	998	15	6564	100
	PGT	1112	42	202	8	1349	51	2663	100
	PGR	156	39	50	13	194	49	400	100

Figure 1.40: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



2. Comparison with other universities

Overview

In this section, the data concerning the University of Nottingham is compared with other Universities in the East Midlands, along with a comparison against the national data. Gender, Disabilities, BAME and International are the analysed features. The Universities of East Midlands included in the comparison are: Bishop Grosseteste (Lincoln), De Montfort (Leicester), Derby, Leicester, Lincoln, Loughborough, Northampton and Nottingham Trent. The latest data for these Universities is available for 2017/2018 and at the time of writing this report from the University Data Set (THUDS). Data at the University of Nottingham level only, came from the database UNUK Student Population Explorer.

The Higher Education Statistics Agency HESA data have been used for comparison with the higher education national statistics and the comparison with data from the Universities of East Midlands. The latest data are available for 2019/2020.

Gender


University of Nottingham

The gender balance for the University of Nottingham in 2020/2021 remains largely unchanged. On average, in UG and PGR there is a fairly even gender balance, while in PGT the percentage of female (around 63%) is higher than male (37%).

Table 2.1: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	12011	51	11409	49	5	0.02			23425	100
	PGT	3979	63	2301	37			4	0.06	6284	100
	PGR	1411	48	1502	52					2913	100
2019/2020	UG	13294	53	11748	47	1	0.00			25043	100
	PGT	4075	64	2263	36			6	0.09	6344	100
	PGR	1368	51	1333	49			2	0.07	2703	100
2020/2021	UG	14262	53	12627	47			8	0.03	26897	100
	PGT	3258	63	1940	37			11	0.21	5209	100
	PGR	1466	49	1512	51			5	0.17	2983	100

Figure 2.2: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham per level of study (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of female and male for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph. The data has come from two different datasets and there has probably been a different way of rounding the numbers. In UG, except for Bishop Grosseteste that have a very high percentage of female students (80%) and Northampton (64%) and for Loughborough with a high percentage of male students (60%), all the other Universities have a fairly even gender balance. The average percentage is around 57% female students and 43% male students. In PGT the percentage of female students is higher than UG with an average of 60%, while in PGR the percentage goes down to 55%. All 9 universities are included in the calculation of the average percentage.

Table 2.3: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities (Higher Education Statistics Agency, HESA, data)

2019/2020	UG		PGT			PGR		
East Midlands Universities	Female (%)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Male (%)	Other (%)	Female (%)	Male (%)	Other (%)
University of Nottingham	53	47	63	35	1	50	50	0
Bishop Grosseteste	80	20	80	20	0	80	20	0
De Montfort	56	44	51	49	1	53	47	0
Derby	58	42	68	32	0	52	48	0
Leicester	53	47	57	43	0	55	45	0
Lincoln	53	47	60	40	0	52	48	0
Loughborough	40	60	47	53	0	42	58	0
Northampton	64	36	57	43	0	60	40	0
Nottingham Trent	55	45	58	41	0	51	48	1

Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of female in UG is 56%, in PGT is 60% and in PGR is 49%. The University of Nottingham (data from HESA database) is aligned with the national average with 53% in UG, 63% in PGT and 50% in PGR.

Disabilities


University of Nottingham

The number of students with a disability in the University of Nottingham continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. We had a decrease of students with disability in 2020/2021 in all levels of qualification, especially in PGR.


Table 2.4: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	20035	86	3390	14	23425	100
	PGT	5721	91	563	9	6284	100
	PGR	2562	88	352	12	2914	100
2019/2020	UG	21488	86	3555	14	25043	100
	PGT	5876	93	468	7	6344	100
	PGR	2368	88	335	12	2703	100
2020/2021	UG	23630	88	3268	12	26898	100
	PGT	4841	94	332	6	5173	100
	PGR	2798	94	185	6	2983	100

Figure 2.52: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



PGR



Universities of East Midlands

There is no data about disabled students in the HESA Data at this level of detail.

Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of students with a disability in UG is 16%, in PGT is 10% and in PGR is 11% in 2019/2020. The University of Nottingham (data from UNUK Student Population Explorer) is aligned with the national average with 14% in UG, 7% in PGT and 12% in PGR.

BAME


University of Nottingham

During the last year, there has been a slight increase of BAME students. Unfortunately, data concerning 2019/2020 registered a significant number (17%) of unknown data in PGT which makes the comparison not valid.

Table 2.6: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	7928	34	15251	65	246	1	23425	100
	PGT	3296	52	2803	45	185	3	6284	100
	PGR	1089	37	1722	59	103	4	2914	100
2019/2020	UG	7648	31	15780	63	1615	6	25043	100
	PGT	3007	48	2243	35	1094	17	6344	101
	PGR	964	36	1503	56	236	9	2703	100
2020/2021	UG	9617	36	16854	63	427	2	26898	100
	PGT	2449	47	2549	49	175	3	5173	100
	PGR	1133	38	1681	56	169	6	2983	100

Figure 2.7: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the university of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Universities of East Midlands

There is no data about BAME students in the HESA Data at this level of detail.

Higher Education National Data

According to the HESA database, in UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) in 2019/2020, the most recent data available, the percentage of domiciled students from the BAME community in UG is 28%, in PGT is 25% and in PGR is 18%. The University of Nottingham is above the national average with 31% in UG, 48% in PGT and 36% in PGR according to UNUK Student Population Explorer.

International

University of Nottingham

The proportion of Home students and international students in the University of Nottingham (both European students and overseas students) has remained mostly stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority is Home students (around 84%).


In PGT, there has been a significant increase of Home students (13%), in PGR there is a well balance proportion between the Home and the international students with a slight majority of Home students.

The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 2.8: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2018/2019	UG	19356	83	925	4	3144	13	23425	100
	PGT	2459	39	455	7	3370	54	6284	100
	PGR	1548	53	428	15	938	32	2914	100
2019/2020	UG	20973	84	852	3	3218	13	25043	100
	PGT	2355	37	418	7	3571	56	6344	100
	PGR	1463	54	338	13	902	33	2703	100
2020/2021	UG	22710	84	803	3	3374	13	26887	100
	PGT	2541	50	359	7	2181	43	5081	100
	PGR	1631	55	352	12	985	33	2968	100

Figure 2.9: International student breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham
(Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of Home/EU students and Overseas students for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph. The data has come from two different datasets and there has probably been a different way of rounding the numbers. In all levels of study, the highest percentage of students is represented by Home students with an average of 89% in UG, 63% in PGT and 70% in PGR. In the University of Nottingham, the highest percentage of overseas students is in PGT.

Table 2.10: International Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities (Higher Education Statistics Agency, HESA, data)

2019/2020	UG			PGT			PGR		
East Midlands Universities	Home (%)	EU (%)	OS (%)	Home (%)	EU (%)	OS (%)	Home (%)	EU (%)	OS (%)
University of Nottingham	84	3	13	45	5	50	56	10	33
Bishop Grosseteste	99	0	0	98	1	1	100	0	0
De Montfort	80	6	13	54	3	43	63	9	28
Derby	90	5	4	90	2	8	78	8	14
Leicester	84	4	12	41	2	57	61	9	31
Lincoln	95	1	4	81	2	18	81	3	16
Loughborough	87	5	8	38	4	58	53	13	35
Northampton	88	4	8	54	1	45	73	2	24
Nottingham Trent	91	3	6	63	4	33	70	6	24

Higher Education National Data

According to the HESA database, in UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) in 2019/2020, the most recent data available, the percentage of Home Students in UG is 84%, in PGT is 60% and in PGR is 59%. The number of Home Students especially in UG is increased significantly in 2019/2020.