

# Student Data Report 2021-2022

Report Produced: January 2023

#### Content

Glossary	4
1. Student Profile Data	5
Overview	5
Gender	5
Faculty of Arts	5
Faculty of Engineering	6
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	7
Faculty of Science	8
Faculty of Social Sciences	9
Disability	10
Faculty of Arts	10
Faculty of Engineering	11
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	12
Faculty of Science	
Faculty of Science	
	14
Faculty of Social Sciences	14 15
Faculty of Social Sciences	14 15 15
Faculty of Social Sciences BAME Faculty of Arts	14 15 15 16
Faculty of Social Sciences BAME Faculty of Arts Faculty of Engineering	14 15 15 16 17
Faculty of Social Sciences BAME Faculty of Arts Faculty of Engineering Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	14 15 15 16 17 18
Faculty of Social Sciences BAME Faculty of Arts Faculty of Engineering Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Faculty of Science	14 15 15 16 16 17 18 19
Faculty of Social Sciences BAME Faculty of Arts Faculty of Engineering Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Faculty of Science Faculty of Science Faculty of Social Sciences	14 15 15 16 16 17 18 19 
Faculty of Social Sciences BAME Faculty of Arts Faculty of Engineering Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Faculty of Science Faculty of Science Faculty of Social Sciences International	14 15 15 16 17 18 19 20
Faculty of Social Sciences BAME Faculty of Arts Faculty of Engineering Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences Faculty of Science Faculty of Social Sciences International Faculty of Arts	

	Faculty of Social Sciences	24
2.	Comparison with other universities	26
O١	verview	26
	Gender	26
	University of Nottingham	26
	Universities of East Midlands	28
	Higher Education National Data	28
	Disabilities	28
	University of Nottingham	28
	Universities of East Midlands	30
	Higher Education National Data	30
	BAME	30
	University of Nottingham	30
	Universities of East Midlands	31
	Higher Education National Data	32
	International	32
	University of Nottingham	32
	Universities of East Midlands	34
	Higher Education National Data	34

### Glossary

HC	Headcount
%	Percentage
BAME	Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Group
Qualification level • UG • PGT • PGT	Undergraduate Post graduate teaching Post graduate research
Unknown	Data may not have been completed or may have been completed as 'prefer not to say'
Home	UK students
EU	European students
OS	Overseas students

### 1. Student Profile Data

#### Overview

The student profile data is based on data from the last three academic years: 2019/2020, 2020/2021 and 2021/2022. The data has come from the University Data Set (THUDS) - database UNUK Student Population Explorer.

Figures are given by percentages of the total headcount. The numbers of headcount and percentage are also shown in the tables provided. Data in graphs are only provided for student groups with a large enough representation (>10). When figures are less than 10, numbers are provided only in the tables.

The data is provided by faculty: Arts, Engineering, Medicine and Health Sciences, Science and Social Sciences.

In the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, there is the label "\*\*\* Not available". These students are from ARC (nursing's student record system) and they are nursing students for which there is traditionally limited data, which accounts for the "\*\*\* Not available" labels to fill in some of the blanks. This data is not usually used for reports but are presented as a headcount for the UNUK Student Population Explorer.

#### Gender

#### Faculty of Arts

The gender balance for the Faculty of Arts at the University in 2021/2022 remains largely unchanged. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Arts are female.

Table 1.1 Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Ma	Male		Other		nown	Tot	tal
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	2755	67	1366	33				0.00	4121	100
2019/2020	PGT	323	68	148	31			1	0.21	472	100
	PGR	141	56	109	43			1	0.40	251	100
	UG	2720	66	1425	34	0		0	0.00	4145	100
2020/2021	PGT	290	67	142	33	0		1	0.23	433	100
	PGR	155	57	114	42	0		1	0.37	270	100
	UG	2672	66	1345	33	6				4023	100
2021/2022	PGT	318	68	149	32	1				468	100
	PGR	171	60	114	40	2				287	100





#### Faculty of Engineering

The gender percentages for the Faculty of Engineering at the University in 2021/2022 remains largely unchanged. The data reveals an unbalance between female and male students where male students have a large majority, especially in PGR and UG. The Faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of female students.

Table 1.3: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Fem	ale	Ma	le	Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	1098	28	2771	72	3869	100
2019/2020	PGT	198	33	400	67	598	100
	PGR	140	28	366	72	506	100
	UG	1212	28	3047	71	4263	100
2020/2021	PGT	132	33	268	67	401	100
	PGR	158	27	427	73	587	100
	UG	1276	29	3186	71	4462	100
2021/2022	PGT	187	35	345	65	532	100
	PGR	152	28	387	72	539	100

Figure 1.4: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences at the University in 2021/2022 remains largely unchanged in comparison with 2020/2021. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences are female students. In PGR, the number of female students decreased in comparison to UG and PGT.

Table 1.5: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	3637	71	1451	29			5088	100
2019/2020	PGT	779	76	251	24			1030	100
	PGR	446	64	253	36			699	100
	UG	4079	72	1585	28			5667	100
2020/2021	PGT	760	74	263	26			1025	100
	PGR	515	66	262	34			777	100
	UG	4433	73	1640	27	3	0.05	6076	100
2021/2022	PGT	825	73	281	25	27	2.38	1133	100
	PGR	471	67	233	33	3	0.42	707	100

Figure 1.6: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

#### Faculty of Science

The gender balance for the Faculty of Science at the University in 2021/2022 remains largely unchanged, with a small increase in male students in PGT. There is a fairly even gender balance at an institutional level.

Table 1.7: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Fem	ale	Male		Ot	Other		nown	Tot	tal
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	2844	49	3001	51	1	0.02			5846	100
2019/2020	PGT	337	53	299	47			1	0.16	637	100
	PGR	431	49	456	51					887	100
	UG	3093	49	3162	51	1	0.02	1	0.02	6257	100
2020/2021	PGT	270	46	318	54			2	0.34	590	100
	PGR	420	44	527	56			2	0.21	949	100
	UG	3373	51	3217	49	4	0.06			6594	100
2021/2022	PGT	339	43	452	57					791	100
	PGR	424	46	491	53	5	0.54			920	100





#### Faculty of Social Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Social Sciences at the University in 2021/2022 remains largely unchanged. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Social Sciences in PGT are female. In UG and PGR there is a fairly even gender balance.

Table1.9: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Fem	ale	Ma	le	Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	2960	48	3160	52	6120	100
2019/2020	PGT	2439	68	1165	32	3604	100
	PGR	210	58	149	42	359	100
	UG	3157	48	3408	52	6565	100
2020/2021	PGT	1806	66	913	34	2719	100
	PGR	218	55	182	46	400	100
	UG	3319	49	3453	51	6772	100
2021/2022	PGT	2636	67	1316	33	3952	100
	PGR	230	60	156	40	386	100



### Figure 1.10: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

#### Disability

#### Faculty of Arts

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Arts decreased in 2021/2022 in UG (1%), but it increased in PGT (7%) and PGR (4%). The Faculty of Arts is the faculty with the highest percentage of disabled students in UG.

Table 1.11: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		N	С	Ye	es	Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	3334	81	787	19	4121	100
2019/2020	PGT	406	86	66	14	472	100
	PGR	198	79	53	21	251	100
	UG	3498	84	647	16	4145	100
2020/2021	PGT	407	94	26	6	433	100
	PGR	247	91	23	9	270	100
	UG	3200	80	823	20	4023	100
2021/2022	PGT	408	87	61	13	469	100
	PGR	250	87	37	13	287	100



## Figure 1.12: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

#### Faculty of Engineering

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Engineering is significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. The Faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of disabled students. However, the number slightly increased both in UG, PGT and PGR.

Table 1.13: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		N	C	Υe	es	Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	3440	89	429	11	3869	100
2019/2020	PGT	567	95	30	5	597	100
	PGR	469	93	38	7	507	100
	UG	3832	90	431	10	4263	100
2020/2021	PGT	384	96	17	4	401	100
	PGR	559	95	28	5	587	100
	UG	3927	88	539	12	4466	100
2021/2022	PGT	505	95	27	5	532	100
	PGR	509	94	33	6	542	100



Faculty of Engineering

Figure 1.14: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the

#### Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

University Data Set THUDS).

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences is lower than the number of students without a disability. The percentage of students with a disability increased in 2021/2022 in all the levels of study.

Table 1.15: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No	C	Ye	s	Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	4272	84	816	16	5088	100
2019/2020	PGT	912	89	118	11	1030	100
	PGR	621	89	78	11	699	100
	UG	4878	86	789	14	5667	100
2020/2021	PGT	915	89	110	11	1025	100
	PGR	725	93	52	7	777	100
	UG	4980	82	1096	18	6076	100
2021/2022	PGT	974	86	159	14	1133	100
	PGR	626	89	81	11	707	100

Figure 1.16: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Science

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Science is lower than the number of students without a disability. However, the percentage of students with a disability increased in 2021/2022 in all the levels of study.

Table 1.17: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No	C	Ye	es	Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	5061	87	785	13	5846	100
2019/2020	PGT	585	92	53	8	638	100
	PGR	758	85	129	15	887	100
	UG	5551	89	706	11	6257	100
2020/2021	PGT	541	92	49	8	590	100
	PGR	889	94	60	6	949	100
	UG	5681	86	915	14	6596	100
2021/2022	PGT	708	90	83	10	791	100
	PGR	823	90	96	10	919	100

Figure 1.18: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Social Sciences

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Social Sciences continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. However, there is an increase in the number of students with a disability in all levels of study.

Table 1.19: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		N	C	Υe	es	Tot	tal
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	5381	88	738	12	6119	100
2019/2020	PGT	3406	94	201	6	3607	100
	PGR	322	90	37	10	359	100
	UG	5871	89	694	11	6565	100
2020/2021	PGT	2594	95	131	5	2725	100
	PGR	378	95	22	6	400	100
	UG	5924	87	852	13	6776	100
2021/2022	2021/2022 PGT		94	234	6	3956	100
	PGR		89	44	11	386	100

Figure 1.20: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### BAME

It should be noted that there is a high number of "Not Known" values in 2019/2020 for Ethnicity due to data quality issues regarding ethnicity data in Campus Solutions for 2019/20. This is significant especially in PGT data.

#### Faculty of Arts

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Arts is lower than the number of white students. There is no data (Not known) for a very small proportion of students.

Table 1.21: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAI	ИE	Wh	ite	Not k	nown	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	832	20	3164	77	125	3	4121	100
2019/2020	PGT	105	22	308	65	59	13	472	100
	PGR	52	21	178	71	21	8	251	100
	UG	958	23	3141	76	48	1	4147	100
2020/2021	PGT	126	29	284	66	23	5	433	100
	PGR	57	21	192	71	21	8	270	100
	UG	974	24	3007	75	42	1	4023	100
2021/2022	PGT	169	36	290	62	9	2	468	100
	PGR	64	22	203	71	20	7	287	100

Figure 1.22: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Engineering

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Engineering is higher than the number of white students in PGT and PGR. The Faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the highest percentage of BAME students.

Table 1.23: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAN	lΕ	Wh	ite	Not k	nown	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	1402	36	2049	53	418	11	3869	100
2019/2020	PGT	375	63	106	18	116	19	597	100
	PGR	268	53	197	39	42	8	507	100
	UG	1853	43	2325	55	86	2	4264	100
2020/2021	PGT	277	69	118	29	6	1	401	100
	PGR	327	56	229	39	31	5	587	100
	UG	2028	45	2368	53	69	2	4465	100
2021/2022	PGT	424	80	101	19	8	2	533	100
	PGR	306	56	216	40	20	4	542	100

Figure 1.24: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences has remained stable for the past three years especially in PGR. There is a slight increase in UG and PGT.

Table 1.25: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAN	ЛE	Wh	ite	Not k	nown	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	1478	29	3450	68	161	3	5089	100
2019/2020	PGT	348	34	600	58	82	8	1030	100
	PGR	273	39	381	55	45	6	699	100
	UG	1783	31	3807	67	77	1	5667	100
2020/2021	PGT	382	37	621	61	22	2	1025	100
	PGR	300	39	447	58	30	4	777	100
	UG	1996	33	4005	66	75	1	6076	100
2021/2022	PGT	461	41	661	58	10	1	1132	100
	PGR	274	39	400	57	33	5	707	100

Figure 1.26: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

#### Faculty of Science

'Not Known' data is significant for all levels of study, especially in 2019/2020. This makes it difficult to compare the real percentage of BAME students with the previous year.

Table 1.27: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAN	ЛE	Wh	ite	Not k	nown	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	1740	30	3593	61	512	9	5845	100
2019/2020	PGT	331	52	195	31	111	17	637	100
	PGR	225	25	568	64	94	11	887	100
	UG	2335	37	3781	60	141	2	6257	100
2020/2021	PGT	346	59	228	39	16	3	590	100
	PGR	263	28	626	66	60	6	949	100
	UG	2652	40	3845	58	98	1	6595	100
2021/2022	PGT	558	71	215	27	18	2	791	100
	PGR	279	30	586	64	54	6	919	100

Figure 1.28: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Social Sciences

As with the Faculty of Science also in the Faculty of Social Sciences, 'Not Known' data is significant for all the levels of study in 2019/2020. This makes it difficult to compare the real percentage of BAME students.

Table 1.29: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAN	ЛЕ	Wh	ite	Not k	nown	Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	2196	36	3524	58	399	7	2019/2020	UG
2019/2020	PGT	1847	51	1034	29	726	20	3906	PGT
	PGR	146	41	179	50	34	9	350	PGR
	UG	2689	41	3800	58	76	1	2020/2021	UG
2020/2021	PGT	1318	48	1297	48	109	4	3607	PGT
	PGR	186	47	187	47	27	7	359	PGR
	UG	2858	42	3868	57	50	1	2021/2022	UG
2021/2022	PGT	2574	65	1291	33	92	2	2724	PGT
	PGR	183	47	184	48	19	5	400	PGR

Figure 1.30: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Social Sciences

#### International

#### Faculty of Arts

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Arts (both European students and oversea students) has remained quite stable in the past three years in UG and there has been an increase of international students in both PGT and PGR. In UG the large majority are home students, while in PGT the proportion between home and international is well balanced (with a percentage of overseas students higher than European students). In 2021/2022 in PGR the majority are still home students but there are around 28% of international students.

·-		•••••			<i>,</i>	-	-	-		
			Hor	ne	El	J	0	S	Tot	al
			HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
		UG	3856	94	88	2	177	4	4121	100
	2019/2020	PGT	249	53	43	9	180	38	472	100
		PGR	175	70	23	9	53	21	251	100
		UG	3872	93	88	2	187	5	4147	100
	2020/2021	PGT	242	56	41	9	150	35	433	100
		PGR	194	72	24	9	51	19	269	100
		UG	3795	94	57	1	171	4	4023	100
	2021/2022	PGT	250	53	19	4	199	43	468	100
		PGR	208	72	16	6	63	22	287	100

Table 1.31: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Figure 1.32: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

#### Faculty of Engineering

In 2021/2022, there is a slight increase of home students. In UG the majority is Home students in UG and PGR, while in PGT there has been an increase of International students. The Faculty of Engineering is the faculty with a higher percentage of International students. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 1.33: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Hor	ne	E	U	0	S	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	2829	73	160	4	880	23	3869	100
2019/2020	PGT	106	18	36	6	455	76	597	100
	PGR	151	30	83	16	273	54	507	100
	UG	3284	77	156	4	820	19	4260	100
2020/2021	PGT	117	29	27	7	258	64	402	100
	PGR	194	33	91	16	298	51	583	100
	UG	3530	79	111	2	824	18	4465	100
2021/2022	PGT	114	21	5	1	414	78	533	100
	PGR	196	36	70	13	276	51	542	100

Figure 1.34: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



Faculty of Engineering

#### Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The proportion of International students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences continues to be smaller in UG where the International students are only 7%. The proportion between home and international students is slightly less different in PGT than in UG, while in PGR there is a more fairly balance proportion. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

		Hor	ne	E	J	0	S	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	4683	92	129	3	277	5	5089	100
2019/2020	PGT	759	74	57	6	214	21	1030	100
	PGR	413	59	73	10	213	30	699	100
	UG	5261	93	114	2	291	5	5666	100
2020/2021	PGT	799	80	50	5	145	15	994	100
	PGR	471	61	72	9	226	29	769	100
	UG	5665	93	101	2	311	5	6077	100
2020/2021	PGT	888	78	14	1	231	20	1133	100
	PGR	436	62	48	7	223	32	707	100

Table 1.35: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

Figure 1.36: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Science

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Science (both European students and oversea students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority are home students (around 80%). Instead, in PGT, in 2021/2022 there has been an increase of around 15% of international students (especially oversea students). The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 1.37: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Hor	ne	E	J	0	S	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	4681	80	202	3	963	16	5846	100
2019/2020	PGT	226	35	43	7	369	58	638	100
	PGR	571	64	120	14	196	22	887	100
	UG	4965	79	207	3	1079	17	6251	100
2020/2021	PGT	271	46	40	7	279	47	590	100
	PGR	616	65	115	12	216	23	947	100
	UG	5249	80	148	2	1198	18	6595	100
2020/2021	PGT	289	37	7	1	495	63	791	100
	PGR	600	65	86	9	233	25	919	100

Figure 1.38: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



#### Faculty of Social Sciences

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Social Sciences (both European students and oversea students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In 2021/2022, there has been a slight increase of home students (3%). In UG the large majority are home students (around 84%). In PGT and PGR, the international students represent the majority. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 1.39: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Hor	ne	El	J	O	S	Tot	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	4925	80	273	4	921	15	6119	100
2019/2020	PGT	1015	28	239	7	2353	65	3607	100
	PGR	153	43	39	11	167	47	359	100
	UG	5328	81	238	4	998	15	6564	100
2020/2021	PGT	1112	42	202	8	1349	51	2663	100
	PGR	156	39	50	13	194	49	400	100
	UG	5668	84	140	2	967	14	6775	100
2021/2022	PGT	1185	30	69	2	2702	68	3956	100
	PGR	165	43	31	8	190	49	386	100

Figure 1.40: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



### 2. Comparison with other universities

#### Overview

In this section, the data concerning the University of Nottingham is compared with other Universities in the East Midlands, along with a comparison against the national data. Gender, Disabilities, BAME and International are the analysed features. The Universities of East Midlands included in the comparison are: Bishop Grosseteste (Lincoln), De Montfort (Leicester), Derby, Leicester, Lincoln, Loughborough, Northampton and Nottingham Trent.

The Higher Education Statistics Agency HESA data has been used for comparison with the higher education national statistics and the data from the Universities of East Midlands. The latest data is available for 2020/2021.

#### Gender

#### University of Nottingham

The gender balance for the University of Nottingham in 2021/2022 remains largely unchanged. On average, in UG and PGR there is a fairly even gender balance, while in PGT the percentage of female (around 63%) is higher than male (37%).

Table 2.1: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Fema	le	Male	e	C	)ther	Unkn	own	Tota	al
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	13294	53	11748	47	1				25043	100
2019/2020	PGT	4075	64	2263	36			6	0.09	6344	100
	PGR	1368	51	1333	49			2	0.07	2703	100
	UG	14262	53	12627	47			8		26897	100
2020/2021	PGT	3258	63	1940	37			11		5209	100
	PGR	1466	49	1512	51			5		2983	100
	UG	15073	54	12841	46	20	0.07			27934	100
2021/2022	PGT	4305	63	2543	37	33	0.48			6881	100
	PGR	1448	51	1381	49	12	0.42			2841	100



Figure 2.2: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham per level of study (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

#### Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of female and male for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph. The data has come from two different datasets and there has probably been a different way of rounding the numbers. In UG, except for Bishop Grosseteste that have a very high percentage of female students (79%) and Northampton (63%) and for Loughborough with a high percentage of male students (60%), all the other Universities have a fairly even gender balance. In UG, the average percentage is around 57% female students and 43% male students. In PGT the percentage of female students is higher than UG with an average of 59%, while in PGR the percentage goes down to 53%. All 9 universities are included in the calculation of the average percentage.

2020/2021	UG				PGT		PGR			
East Midlands	Female	Male	Other	Female	Male	Other	Female	Male	Other	
Universities	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
University of										
Nottingham	53	47	0	62	36	2	49	51	0	
Bishop										
Grosseteste	79	21	0	76	24	0	60	40	0	
De Montfort	57	43	0	53	47	0	49	51	0	
Derby	58	41	1	68	31	0	58	42	0	
Leicester	54	46	0	50	50	0	55	45	0	
Lincoln	54	46	0	60	39	0	53	47	0	
Loughborough	39	60	1	42	57	0	43	56	1	
Northampton	63	36	0	57	43	0	59	41	0	
Nottingham										
Trent	53	47	0	59	41	0	52	48	1	

### Table 2.3: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities (Higher Education Statistics Agency, HESA, data)

#### Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of female in UG is 57%, in PGT it is 60% and in PGR it is 54%. The University of Nottingham (data from HESA database) is aligned with the national average with 53% in UG, 62% in PGT and 49% in PGR.

#### **Disabilities**

#### University of Nottingham

The number of students with a disability in the University of Nottingham continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. However, we had an increase of students with disability in 2020/2021 in all levels of qualification.

Table 2.4: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No	)	Ye	S	Total		
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	
	UG	21488	86	3555	14	25043	100	
2019/2020	PGT	5876	93	468	7	6344	100	
	PGR	2368	88	335	12	2703	100	
	UG	23630	88	3268	12	26898	100	
2020/2021	PGT	4841	94	332	6	5173	100	
	PGR	2798	94	185	6	2983	100	
	UG	23710	85	4225	15	27935	100	
2021/2022	PGT	6317	92	564	8	6881	100	
	PGR	2550	90	291	10	2841	100	

Figure 2.52: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).



UG



29

PGR



#### Universities of East Midlands

There is no data about disabled students in the HESA Data at this level of detail.

#### Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of students with a disability in UG is 17%, in PGT it is 11% and in PGR it is 12% in 2020/2021. The University of Nottingham (data from UNUK Student Population Explorer) had a lower average with 12% in UG, 6% in PGT and 6% in PGR in 2020/2021.

#### BAME

#### University of Nottingham

During the last year, there has been a slight increase of BAME students.

Table 2.6: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAN	1E	White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	7648	31	15780	63	1615	6	25043	100
2019/2020	PGT	3007	48	2243	35	1094	17	6344	101
	PGR	964	36	1503	56	236	9	2703	100
	UG	9617	36	16854	63	427	2	26898	100
2020/2021	PGT	2449	47	2549	49	175	3	5173	100
	PGR	1133	38	1681	56	169	6	2983	100
	UG	10508	38	17093	61	334	1	27935	100
2021/2022	PGT	4186	61	2559	37	136	2	6881	100
	PGR	1106	39	1589	56	146	5	2841	100



## Figure 2.7: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

#### Universities of East Midlands

There are no data about disabled students in the HESA Data at this level of detail.

#### Higher Education National Data

According to the HESA database, for the UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) in 2020/2021 (the most recent data available), the percentage of domiciled students from the BAME community in UG is 25%, in PGT it is 21% and in PGR it is 17%. The University of Nottingham is above the national average with 36% in UG, 47% in PGT and 38% in PGR according to UNUK Student Population Explorer.

#### International

#### University of Nottingham

The proportion of home students and international students in the University of Nottingham (both European students and oversea students) has remained mostly stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority are home students (around 84%).

The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 2.8: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Horr	ne	EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
	UG	20973	84	852	3	3218	13	25043	100
2019/2020	PGT	2355	37	418	7	3571	56	6344	100
	PGR	1463	54	338	13	902	33	2703	100
	UG	22710	84	803	3	3374	13	26887	100
2020/2021	PGT	2541	50	359	7	2181	43	5081	100
	UG209738485232019/2020PGT2355374187PGR146354338132020/2021PGT227108480332020/2021PGT2541503597PGR16315535212UG239088655822021/2022PGT2725401142	12	985	33	2968	100			
	UG	23908	86	558	2	3471	12	27937	100
2021/2022	PGT	2725	40	114	2	4041	59	6880	100
	PGR	1605	56	251	9	985	35	2841	100



Figure 2.9: International student breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data from the University Data Set THUDS).

#### Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of Home/EU students and Overseas students for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph. The data has come from two different datasets and there has probably been a different way of rounding the numbers. In all levels of study, the highest percentage of students is represented by home students with an average of 89% in UG, 66% in PGT and 70% in PGR. In the University of Nottingham, the highest percentage of overseas students is in PGT.

2019/2020		UG			PGT			PGR	
East Midlands	Home	EU	OS	Home	EU	OS	Home	EU	OS
Universities	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
University of									
Nottingham	84	3	13	58	4	38	57	10	33
Bishop Grosseteste	99	0	1	98	1	1	90	0	0
De Montfort	81	8	10	62	3	35	58	10	34
Derby	90	5	5	87	2	11	84	4	11
Leicester	84	4	12	45	2	54	63	8	30
Lincoln	95	1	4	82	2	16	81	3	17
Loughborough	87	4	9	47	6	47	54	11	36
Northampton	90	3	7	47	1	52	70	4	26
Nottingham Trent	91	3	6	64	3	32	71	5	23

Table 2.10: International Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities (Higher Education Statistics Agency, HESA, data)

#### Higher Education National Data

According to the HESA database, for the UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) in 2020/2021, (the most recent data available), the percentage of home students in UG is 84%, in PGT it is 61% and in PGR it is 59%.