Student Annual Diversity Data Report 2020

November 2020

Content

1. GLOSSARY

HC	Headcount
%	Percentage
BAME	Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Group
Qualification level • UG • PGT • PGT	 Undergraduate Post graduate teaching Post graduate research
Unknown	Data may not have been completed or may have been completed as 'prefer not to say'
Home	UK students
EU	European students
OS	Overseas students

2. STUDENT PROFILE DATA

Overview

Student profile data are based on data from the last three academic years: 2017/2018, 2018/2019 and 2019/2020. Data come from the University Data Set (THUDS) - database UNUK Student Population Explorer.

Figures are given by percentages of the total headcount. The numbers of headcount and percentage are also shown in the tables provided. Data in graphs are only provided for student groups with a large enough representation (>10). When figures are less than 10, numbers are provided only in the tables.

Data are provided by faculty: Arts, Engineering, Medicine and Health Sciences, Science and Social Sciences. Total data for the University is provided in section 3.

In the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, there is the label "*** *Not available*". These students are from ARC (nursing's student record system) and they are nursing students which there are traditionally limited data for, hence the "*** *Not available*" labels to fill in some of the blanks. These data are usually not used for reports, but they are there just as a headcount for the UNUK Student Population Explorer.

Gender[1]

Faculty of Arts

The gender balance for the Faculty of Arts at the University in 2019/2020 remains largely unchanged, despite a small increase in the number of female students, especially in PGT and PGR. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Arts are female, especially in UG and PGT. In PGR there is a fairly even gender balance.

Table 1: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	2765	67	1379	33	2	0.05			4146	100
	PGT	372	67	187	33					559	100
	PGR	149	53	134	47					283	100
2018/2019	UG	2742	66	1380	33	2	0.05			4124	100
	PGT	344	67	165	32			3	0.59	512	100
	PGR	150	55	120	44			1	0.37	271	100
2019/2020	UG	2755	67	1366	33				0.00	4121	100
	PGT	323	68	148	31			1	0.21	472	100
	PGR	141	56	109	43			1	0.40	251	100

---

[1] Note, in this report, data are reported against sex (i.e. male/female) as this is the data that has been recorded. Our EDI strategy within UoN is to be inclusive of all genders, ensuring a non-binary approach. Therefore we use the term gender in our narrative. We continue to work closely with our LGBTQ+ student community to ensure that our strategic work and reporting is inclusive and non-discriminatory.

.

Faculty of Engineering

The gender percentages for the Faculty of Engineering at the University in 2019/2020 remain largely unchanged. The numbers of female students in PGT are slightly increasing after a significant decrease in 2018/2019. The data reveals an unbalance between female and male students where male students have a large majority, especially in PGR and UG. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of female students.

Table 2: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	944	28	2453	72	3397	100
	PGT	173	39	275	61	448	100
	PGR	164	27	440	73	604	100
2018/2019	UG	1026	29	2554	71	3580	100
	PGT	161	30	383	70	544	100
	PGR	160	27	430	73	590	100
2019/2020	UG	1098	28	2771	72	3869	100
	PGT	198	33	400	67	598	100
	PGR	140	28	366	72	506	100

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences at the University in 2019/2020 slightly changed in comparison with previous years. The number of female students in UG, PGR and PGT increased between 3% in PGR up to 6% in UG. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences are female students.

Table 3: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	2519	65	1382	35	2	0.05	3903	100
	PGT	553	72	215	28			768	100
	PGR	508	62	317	38			825	100
2018/2019	UG	2578	65	1379	35	1	0.03	3958	100
	PGT	589	72	234	28			823	100
	PGR	504	61	318	39			822	100
2019/2020	UG	3637	71	1451	29			5088	100
	PGT	779	76	251	24			1030	100
	PGR	446	64	253	36			699	100

Figure 3: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Science

The gender balance for the Faculty of Science at the University in 2019/2020 remains largely unchanged. There is a fairly gender balance at an institutional level.

Table 4: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	2633	47	2921	53	3	0.05			5557	100
	PGT	259	54	223	46					482	100
	PGR	429	49	453	51					882	100
2018/2019	UG	2794	48	2969	52	2	0.03			5765	100
	PGT	279	56	219	44			1	0.20	499	100
	PGR	408	46	473	54					881	100
2019/2020	UG	2844	49	3001	51	1	0.02			5846	100
	PGT	337	53	299	47			1	0.16	637	100
	PGR	431	49	456	51					887	100

Faculty of Social Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Social Sciences at the University in 2018/2019 remains largely unchanged. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Social Sciences in PGT and PGR are female. In UG there is a fairly gender balance.

Table 5: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	2790	48	3073	52	5863	100
	PGT	2376	64	1330	36	3706	100
	PGR	199	55	165	45	364	100
2018/2019	UG	2870	48	3127	52	5997	100
	PGT	2606	67	1299	33	3905	100
	PGR	189	54	161	46	350	100
2019/2020	UG	2960	48	3160	52	6120	100
	PGT	2439	68	1165	32	3604	100
	PGR	210	58	149	42	359	100

Faculty of Social Sciences

.

Disabled students

Faculty of Arts

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Arts remains largely unchanged in 2019/2020 in UG, PGT and PGR. At PGR the 21% of the students have a disability. The proportion of students without a disability continues to be higher. The faculty of Arts is the faculty with the highest percentage of disabled students.

Table 6: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	3439	83	707	17	4146	100
	PGT	462	83	96	17	558	100
	PGR	238	84	45	16	283	100
2018/2019	UG	3358	81	766	19	4124	100
	PGT	430	84	83	16	513	100
	PGR	217	80	54	20	271	100
2019/2020	UG	3334	81	787	19	4121	100
	PGT	406	86	66	14	472	100
	PGR	198	79	53	21	251	100

Figure 6: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Arts

Faculty of Engineering

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Engineering is significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. After an increase of the number of disabled students in 2018/2019 in PGR, the percentages has decreased again in 2019/2020.The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of disabled students.

Table 7: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	3044	90	353	10	3397	100
	PGT	421	94	27	6	448	100
	PGR	553	92	51	8	604	100
2018/2019	UG	3176	89	404	11	3580	100
	PGT	519	95	25	5	544	100
	PGR	533	90	57	10	590	100
2019/2020	UG	3440	89	429	11	3869	100
	PGT	567	95	30	5	597	100
	PGR	469	93	38	7	507	100

Figure 7: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Engineering

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences is lower than the number of students without a disability. The percentage of students with a disability decreased in 2019/2020 in all the levels of study (UG, PGT and PGR) in comparison with 2018/2019.

Table 8: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	3311	85	592	15	3903	100
	PGT	666	87	103	13	769	100
	PGR	727	88	98	12	825	100
2018/2019	UG	3283	83	675	17	3958	100
	PGT	699	85	124	15	823	100
	PGR	726	88	96	12	822	100
2019/2020	UG	4272	84	816	16	5088	100
	PGT	912	89	118	11	1030	100
	PGR	621	89	78	11	699	100

Figure 8: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

Faculty of Science

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Science is lower than the number of students without a disability. However, the proportion of disabled students is slowly increasing throughout the years, except for PGT students where there has been a decrease of 4% from 2017/2018 and 2019/2020.

Table 9: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	4833	87	723	13	5556	100
	PGT	423	88	59	12	482	100
	PGR	786	89	96	11	882	100
2018/2019	UG	4970	86	796	14	5766	100
	PGT	439	88	61	12	500	100
	PGR	767	87	114	13	881	100
2019/2020	UG	5061	87	785	13	5846	100
	PGT	585	92	53	8	638	100
	PGR	758	85	129	15	887	100

Figure 9: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Science

Faculty of Social Sciences

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Social Sciences continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. Together with the Faculty of Engineering, the Faculty of Social Sciences is the Faculty with the lower percentage of disabled students.

Table 10: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	5182	88	682	12	5864	100
	PGT	3447	93	260	7	3707	100
	PGR	337	93	27	7	364	100
2018/2019	UG	5247	87	750	13	5997	100
	PGT	3635	93	271	7	3906	100
	PGR	319	91	31	9	350	100
2019/2020	UG	5381	88	738	12	6119	100
	PGT	3406	94	201	6	3607	100
	PGR	322	90	37	10	359	100

Figure 10: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Social Sciences

BAME[2]

It has to be noted that there is a high number of "Not Known" values in 2019/2020 for Ethnicity due to data quality issues regarding ethnicity data in Campus Solutions for 2019/20. This is significant especially in PGT data.

Faculty of Arts

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Arts is lower than the number of white students. There are not data (Not known) only for a very small proportion of students. However, the proportion of BAME students is slowly increasing throughout the years, especially in UG where it reaches 20% in 2019/2020.

Table 11: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	766	18	3342	81	38	1	4146	100
	PGT	132	24	401	72	26	5	559	100
	PGR	58	20	213	75	12	4	283	100
2018/2019	UG	833	20	3247	79	42	1	4122	100
	PGT	139	27	352	69	21	4	512	100
	PGR	50	18	208	77	13	5	271	100
2019/2020	UG	832	20	3164	77	125	3	4121	100
	PGT	105	22	308	65	59	13	472	100
	PGR	52	21	178	71	21	8	251	100

---

[2] We have adopted the term BAME (Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic) here for reporting purposes; in our strategic approach to student inclusion we take an anti-essentialist approach, recognising the different experiences of different ethnicities amongst our student population.

Faculty of Arts

Figure 11: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Engineering

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Engineering is higher than the number of white students in PGT and PGR. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the highest percentage of BAME students. In 2019/2020 the number of "Not Known" is significant for all the level of study.

Table 12: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	1549	46	1801	53	47	1	3397	100
	PGT	300	67	133	30	15	3	448	100
	PGR	305	50	279	46	20	3	604	100
2018/2019	UG	1576	44	1952	55	52	1	3580	100
	PGT	416	77	107	20	20	4	543	100
	PGR	300	51	269	46	21	4	590	100
2019/2020	UG	1402	36	2049	53	418	11	3869	100
	PGT	375	63	106	18	116	19	597	100
	PGR	268	53	197	39	42	8	507	100

Faculty of Engineering

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences has remained stable for the past three years at around 28% in UG, 32% in PGT and 40% in PGR.

Table 13: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	1086	28	2788	71	29	1	3903	100
	PGT	242	31	511	66	16	2	769	100
	PGR	342	41	465	56	18	2	825	100
2018/2019	UG	1128	28	2790	70	40	1	3958	100
	PGT	263	32	557	68	3	0	823	100
	PGR	336	41	469	57	17	2	822	100
2019/2020	UG	1478	29	3450	68	161	3	5089	100
	PGT	348	34	600	58	82	8	1030	100
	PGR	273	39	381	55	45	6	699	100

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

Faculty of Science

'Not Known' data are significant for all the level of study in 2019/2020. This makes difficult to understand the real percentage of BAME students.

Table 14: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	1823	33	3681	66	53	1	5557	100
	PGT	206	43	265	55	11	2	482	100
	PGR	250	28	601	68	31	4	882	100
2018/2019	UG	2011	35	3701	64	54	1	5766	100
	PGT	265	53	219	44	16	3	500	100
	PGR	250	28	597	68	34	4	881	100
2019/2020	UG	1740	30	3593	61	512	9	5845	100
	PGT	331	52	195	31	111	17	637	100
	PGR	225	25	568	64	94	11	887	100

Figure 14: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Science

Faculty of Social Sciences

As for the Faculty of Science also in the Faculty of Social Sciences, 'Not Known' data are significant for all the level of study in 2019/2020. This makes difficult to understand the real percentage of BAME students.

Table 15: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	2247	38	3559	61	58	1	5864	100
	PGT	1934	52	1653	45	120	3	3707	100
	PGR	146	40	203	56	15	4	364	100
2018/2019	UG	2380	40	3561	59	56	1	5997	100
	PGT	2213	57	1567	40	126	3	3906	100
	PGR	153	44	179	51	18	5	350	100
2019/2020	UG	2196	36	3524	58	399	7	6119	100
	PGT	1847	51	1034	29	726	20	3607	100
	PGR	146	41	179	50	34	9	359	100

Figure 15: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Social Sciences

International

Faculty of Arts

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Arts (both European students and oversea students) has remained stable in the past three years. In UG the large majority is Home students, while in PGT the proportion between home and international is well balanced (with a percentage of overseas students higher than European students). In 2019/2020 in PGR the majority is still home students but there is around a 30% of International students.

Table 16: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	3883	94	102	2	161	4	4146	100
	PGT	295	53	57	10	207	37	559	100
	PGR	191	67	38	13	54	19	283	100
2018/2019	UG	3843	93	105	3	175	4	4123	100
	PGT	285	56	47	9	181	35	513	100
	PGR	186	69	35	13	50	18	271	100
2019/2020	UG	3856	94	88	2	177	4	4121	100
	PGT	249	53	43	9	180	38	472	100
	PGR	175	70	23	9	53	21	251	100

Figure 16: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Arts

Faculty of Engineering

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Engineering (both European students and oversea students) has slightly changed in the past three years. In UG the majority is Home students, while in PGT and PGR the large majority is International students. The Faculty of Engineering is the faculty with a higher percentage of International students. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 17: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	2287	67	176	5	934	27	3397	100
	PGT	112	25	43	10	293	65	448	100
	PGR	204	34	102	17	298	49	604	100
2018/2019	UG	2499	70	163	5	918	26	3580	100
	PGT	101	19	34	6	409	75	544	100
	PGR	206	35	101	17	283	48	590	100
2019/2020	UG	2829	73	160	4	880	23	3869	100
	PGT	106	18	36	6	455	76	597	100
	PGR	151	30	83	16	273	54	507	100

Figure 17: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Engineering

Chart: % OF TOTAL HEADCOUNT (Faculty of Engineering) with categories UG, PGT, PGR across years 2017/2018, 2018/2019, 2019/2020. Legend: OS, Home, EU.

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The proportion of Home students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences continues to be smaller in UG where the International students are only the 8%. The proportion between Home and International students is slightly less different in PGT than in UG, while in PGR there is a more fairly balance proportion. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 18: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	3516	90	137	4	249	6	3902	100
	PGT	549	71	69	9	150	20	768	100
	PGR	462	56	106	13	257	31	825	100
2018/2019	UG	3566	90	127	3	264	7	3957	100
	PGT	630	77	48	6	144	18	822	100
	PGR	471	57	99	12	252	31	822	100
2019/2020	UG	4683	92	129	3	277	5	5089	100
	PGT	759	74	57	6	214	21	1030	100
	PGR	413	59	73	10	213	30	699	100

Figure 18: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

Faculty of Science

The proportion of Home students and international students in the Faculty of Science (both European students and oversea students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority is Home students (around 80%).

Instead, in PGT, in 2019/2020 there has been an increase of around 10% of International student (especially oversea student). The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 19: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	4504	81	236	4	816	15	5556	100
	PGT	246	51	54	11	182	38	482	100
	PGR	501	57	170	19	211	24	882	100
2018/2019	UG	4662	81	238	4	866	15	5766	100
	PGT	230	46	33	7	237	47	500	100
	PGR	527	60	150	17	204	23	881	100
2019/2020	UG	4681	80	202	3	963	16	5846	100
	PGT	226	35	43	7	369	58	638	100
	PGR	571	64	120	14	196	22	887	100

Figure 19: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Science

Faculty of Social Sciences

The proportion of Home students and international students in the Faculty of Social Sciences (both European students and oversea students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority is Home students (around 80%).

In PGT, the International students represent the majority and instead, in PGR there is a well balance proportion between the Home and the international students with a slightly majority of International students.

The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 20: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	4719	80	296	5	849	14	5864	100
	PGT	1301	35	366	10	2039	55	3706	100
	PGR	172	47	49	13	143	39	364	100
2018/2019	UG	4785	80	292	5	920	15	5997	100
	PGT	1213	31	293	8	2399	61	3905	100
	PGR	158	45	43	12	149	43	350	100
2019/2020	UG	4925	80	273	4	921	15	6119	100
	PGT	1015	28	239	7	2353	65	3607	100
	PGR	153	43	39	11	167	47	359	100

Figure 20: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

Faculty of Social Sciences

3. COMPARISON WITH OTHER UNIVERSITIES

Overview

In this section data concerning the University of Nottingham, a comparison with other Universities in East Midlands and a comparison with the national data are provided. Gender, Disability, BAME and International are the analysed features. The Universities of East Midlands included in the comparison are: Bishop Grosseteste (Lincoln), De Montfort (Leicester), Derby, Leicester, Lincoln, Loughborough, Northampton and Nottingham Trent. Data for these Universities are not available for 2018/2019 at the moment of writing this report from the University Data Set (THUDS). Data at the University of Nottingham level only, came from the database UNUK Student Population Explorer.

The Higher Education Statistics Agency HESA data have been used for comparison with the higher education national statistics and the comparison with data from the Universities of East Midlands.

Gender

University of Nottingham

The gender balance for the University of Nottingham in 2019/2020 remains largely unchanged. On average, in UG and PGR there is a fairly gender balance, while in PGT the percentage of female (around 64%) is higher than male (37%).

Table 21: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	11650	51	11208	49	7	0.03			22865	100
	PGT	3734	63	2230	37					5964	100
	PGR	1449	49	1509	51					2958	100
2018/2019	UG	12011	51	11409	49	5	0.02			23425	100
	PGT	3979	63	2301	37			4	0.06	6284	100
	PGR	1411	48	1502	52					2913	100
2019/2020	UG	13294	53	11748	47	1	0.004			25043	100
	PGT	4075	64	2263	36			6	0.09	6344	100
	PGR	1368	51	1333	49			2	0.07	2703	100

Figure 21: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham per level of study (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

UG

2017/2018 — 49% / 51%
2018/2019 — 49% / 51%
2019/2020 — 47% / 53%

■ Female ■ Male

PGT

2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020
37% / 63%	37% / 63%	36% / 64%

■ Female ■ Male

PGR

2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020
51% / 49%	52% / 48%	49% / 51%

■ Female ■ Male

Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of female and male for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph, especially for UG. The data come from two different datasets and there has probably been a different way of rounding the numbers. In UG, except for Bishop Grosseteste that have a very high percentage of female student (81%) and Northampton (64%) and for Loughborough with a high percentage of male student (61%), all the other Universities has a fairly gender balance. The average percentage is around 57% female students and 43% male students. In PGT the percentage of female students is higher than UG with an average of 61%, while in PGR the percentage goes down to 53%. All 9 universities are included in the calculation of the average percentage.

Table 22: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities (Higher Education Statistics Agency, HESA, data)

2018/2019	UG		PGT			PGR		
East Midlands Universities	Female (%)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Male (%)	Other (%)	Female (%)	Male (%)	Other (%)
University of Nottingham	53	47	63	37	0	48	52	0
Bishop Grosseteste	81	18	78	22	0	71	29	0
De Montfort	56	44	49	50	0	51	49	0
Derby	57	43	67	33	0	48	52	0
Leicester	52	48	58	42	0	54	46	0
Lincoln	54	46	60	40	0	52	48	0
Loughborough	39	61	47	53	0	42	58	0
Northampton	64	36	66	34	0	60	40	0
Nottingham Trent	56	44	60	40	0	50	49	1

Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of female in UG is 57%, in PGT is 61% and in PGR is 49%. The University of Nottingham (data from HESA database) is aligned with the national average with 53% in UG, 63% in PGT and 48% in PGR.

## Disabled students

University of Nottingham

The number of students with a disability in the University of Nottingham continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. In PGT and UG the percentage remained the same between 2018/2019 and 219/2020, while in PGT we have a decrease of 2%.

Table 23: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	19808	87	3057	13	22865	100
	PGT	5419	91	545	9	5964	100
	PGR	2641	89	317	11	2958	100
2018/2019	UG	20035	86	3390	14	23425	100
	PGT	5721	91	563	9	6284	100
	PGR	2562	88	352	12	2914	100
2019/2020	UG	21488	86	3555	14	25043	100

	PGT	5876	93	468	7	6344	100
	PGR	2368	88	335	12	2703	100

*Figure 22: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).*

UG

2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020
13% / 87%	14% / 86%	14% / 86%

■ NO   ■ YES

PGT

2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020
9% / 91%	9% / 91%	7% / 93%

■ NO   ■ YES

PGR

2017/2018   2018/2019   2019/2020

11%   89%   12%   88%   12%   88%

- NO   - YES

Universities of East Midlands

There are no data about disabled students in the HESA Data at this level of detail.

Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of student with a disability in UG is 15%, in PGT is 9% and in PGR is 10% in 2018/2019. The University of Nottingham (data from UNUK Student Population Explorer) is aligned with the national average with 14% in UG, 9% in PGT and 12% in PGR.

BAME

University of Nottingham

During the last few years, there has been a significant increase of BAME students in PGT until 2018/2019. Unfortunately, data concerning 2019/2020 registered a significant number (17%) of unknown data which makes the comparison not valid.

Also, in UG there has been an increase of the number of BAME students even if it is not as significant as in PGT. Instead, in PGR the proportion throughout the years has remained stable. It is difficult to compare with 2019/2020 because of the significant percentages of unknown data.

Table 24: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	7470	33	15170	66	225	1	22865	100
	PGT	2814	47	2963	50	187	3	5964	100
	PGR	1101	37	1761	60	96	3	2958	100
2018/2019	UG	7928	34	15251	65	246	1	23425	100
	PGT	3296	52	2803	45	185	3	6284	100

	PGR	1089	37	1722	59	103	4	2914	100
2019/2020	UG	7648	31	15780	63	1615	6	25043	100
	PGT	3007	48	2243	35	1094	17	6344	100
	PGR	964	36	1503	55	236	9	2703	100

Figure 23: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the university of Nottingham (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

UG

PGT

PGR

2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020
3% 37% 60%	4% 37% 59%	9% 36% 55%

■ BME ■ White ■ Not Known

Universities of East Midlands

There are no data about disabled students in the HESA Data at this level of detail.

Higher Education National Data

According to the HESA database, in UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) in 2018/2019, the most recent data available, the percentage of domiciled students from the BAME community in UG is 23%, in PGT is 21% and in PGR is 16%. The University of Nottingham is above the national average with 34% in UG, 52% in PGT and 37% in PGR according to UNUK Student Population Explorer. However, in 2019/2020 the percentages are a bit lower with 31% in UG, 48% in PGT and 36% in PGR.

International

University of Nottingham

The proportion of Home students and international students in the University of Nottingham (both European students and oversea students) has remained mostly stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority is Home students (around 83%).

In PGT, the International students represent the majority and instead, in PGR there is a well balance proportion between the Home and the international students with a slightly majority of Home students.

The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 25: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

|		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2017/2018	UG	18908	83	948	4	3009	13	22865	100
	PGT	2504	42	589	10	2871	48	5964	100

		Home	%	EU	%	OS	%	Total	%
	PGR	1530	52	465	16	963	32	2958	100
2018/2019	UG	19356	83	925	4	3144	13	23425	100
	PGT	2459	39	455	7	3370	54	6284	100
	PGR	1548	53	428	15	938	32	2914	100
2019/2020	UG	20973	84	852	3	3218	13	25043	100
	PGT	2355	37	418	7	3571	56	6344	100
	PGR	1463	54	338	13	902	33	2703	100

Figure 24: International student breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham (Data come from the University Data Set THUDS).

UG

PGT

PGR

| 2017/2018 | 2018/2019 | 2019/2020 |

- Home ▪ EU ▪ OS

Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of Home/EU students and Overseas students for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph. The data come from two different datasets and there has probably been a different way of rounding the numbers. In all levels of study, the highest percentage of students is represented by Home students with an average of 89% in UG, 67% in PGT and 69% in PGR. However, in general, the higher is the level of study and the higher is the percentages of overseas students. In the University of Nottingham, the highest percentage of overseas students is in PGT, instead of PGR.

Table 26: International Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities (Higher Education Statistics Agency, HESA, data)

2018/2019	UG			PGT			PGR		
East Midlands Universities	Home (%)	EU (%)	OS (%)	Home (%)	EU (%)	OS (%)	Home (%)	EU (%)	OS (%)
University of Nottingham	83	4	13	52	4	44	56	13	32
Bishop Grosseteste	99	0	0	99	1	1	100	0	0
De Montfort	83	5	12	58	2	40	60	6	35
Derby	92	5	3	89	2	9	75	8	17
Leicester	85	4	11	51	2	46	60	10	30
Lincoln	95	1	4	82	3	16	80	4	16
Loughborough	88	4	8	38	4	57	52	14	33
Northampton	89	4	7	70	1	29	67	2	30
Nottingham Trent	91	3	7	65	4	31	68	6	25

Higher Education National Data

According to the HESA database, in UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) in 2018/2019, the most recent data available, the percentage of Home Students in UG is 63%, in PGT is 64% and in PGR is 59%. The percentage of European student (without including UK students) in UG is 8%, in PGT is 6% and in

PGR is 12%. The percentage of OS students in UG is 29%, in PGT is 30% and in PGR is 29%.The University of Nottingham is above the national average for what concerns international student at level of study of PGT and PGR and it is below the national average for what concerns UG.