



University of
Nottingham

UK | CHINA | MALAYSIA

Student Data Report

2018 - 2019

Content

1. Glossary.....	4
2. Student profile data	5
Overview	5
Gender	5
Faculty of Arts	5
Faculty of Engineering.....	6
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	7
Faculty of Science.....	8
Faculty of Social Sciences.....	9
Disabled students.....	10
Faculty of Arts	10
Faculty of Engineering.....	11
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	12
Faculty of Science.....	13
Faculty of Social Sciences.....	14
BAME.....	15
Faculty of Arts	15
Faculty of Engineering.....	16
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	17
Faculty of Science.....	18
Faculty of Social Sciences.....	19
International	20
Faculty of Arts	20
Faculty of Engineering.....	21
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	22

Faculty of Science.....	23
Faculty of Social Sciences.....	24
3. Comparison with other universities.....	25
Overview	25
Gender	25
University of Nottingham.....	25
Universities of East Midlands.....	26
Higher Education National Data	27
Disabled students.....	27
University of Nottingham.....	27
Universities of East Midlands.....	28
Higher Education National Data	28
BAME.....	28
University of Nottingham.....	28
Universities of East Midlands.....	29
Higher Education National Data	30
International	30
University of Nottingham.....	30
Universities of East Midlands.....	31
Higher Education National Data	Error! Bookmark not defined.

1. GLOSSARY

HC	Headcount
%	Percentage
BAME	Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic Group
Qualification level	
• UG	Undergraduate
• PGT	Post graduate teaching
• PGT	Post graduate research
Unknown	Data may not have been completed or may have been completed as 'prefer not to say'
Home	UK students
EU	European students
OS	Overseas students

2. STUDENT PROFILE DATA

Overview

Student profile data are based on data from the last three academic years: 2016/2017, 2017/2018 and 2018/2019. Data come from the database UNUK Student Population Explorer.

Figures are given by percentages of the total headcount. The numbers of headcount and percentage are also shown in the tables provided. Data in graphs are only provided for student groups with a large enough representation (>10). When figures are less than 10, numbers are provided only in the tables.

Data are provided by faculty: Arts, Engineering, Medicine and Health Sciences, Science and Social Sciences.

In the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, there is the label “*** *Not available*”. These students are from ARC (nursing’s student record system) and they are nursing students which there are traditionally limited data for, hence the “*** *Not available*” labels to fill in some of the blanks. These data are usually not used for reports, but they are there just as a headcount for the UNUK Student Population Explorer.

Gender


Faculty of Arts

The gender balance for the Faculty of Arts at the University in 2018/2019 remains largely unchanged, despite a small increase in the number of female students, especially in PGT and PGR. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Arts are female, especially in UG and PGT. In PGR there is a fairly gender balance.

Table 1: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	2704	66	1393	34	3	0.07			4100	100
	PGT	336	63	200	37					536	100
	PGR	154	53	134	47					288	100
2017/2018	UG	2751	67	1370	33	2	0.05			4123	100
	PGT	365	66	186	34					551	100
	PGR	149	53	134	47					283	100
2018/2019	UG	2731	67	1369	33	2	0.05			4102	100
	PGT	337	67	164	33			3	0.60	504	100
	PGR	149	55	120	44			1	0.37	270	100

Figure 1: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts




Faculty of Engineering

The gender percentages for the Faculty of Engineering at the University in 2018/2019 remains largely unchanged, despite a decrease of around 8% in PGT for female students. The data reveals an unbalance between female and male students where male students have a large majority, especially in PGR. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of female students.

Table 2: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering

		Female		Male		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	888	28	2256	72	3144	100
	PGT	157	37	270	63	427	100
	PGR	127	24	394	76	521	100
2017/2018	UG	945	28	2455	72	3400	100
	PGT	172	38	275	62	447	100
	PGR	130	25	393	75	523	100
2018/2019	UG	1027	29	2556	71	3583	100
	PGT	160	30	382	70	542	100
	PGR	133	25	393	75	526	100

Figure 2: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences at the University in 2018/2019 remains largely unchanged, despite a small increase in the number of female students. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences are female students.

Table 3: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

		Female		Male		Other		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	2458	63	1415	37	2	0.05	3875	100
	PGT	566	71	230	29			796	100
	PGR	486	60	321	40			807	100
2017/2018	UG	2515	65	1379	35	2	0.05	3896	100
	PGT	536	72	213	28			749	100
	PGR	514	62	321	38			835	100
2018/2019	UG	2575	65	1376	35	1	0.03	3952	100
	PGT	576	71	234	29			810	100
	PGR	506	61	320	39			826	100

Figure 3: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences




Faculty of Science

The gender balance for the Faculty of Science at the University in 2018/2019 remains largely unchanged. There is a fairly gender balance at an institutional level.

Table 4: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	2546	47	2816	52	4	0.07			5366	100
	PGT	244	53	216	47					460	100
	PGR	442	45	534	55					976	100
2017/2018	UG	2635	47	2921	53	3	0.05			5559	100
	PGT	259	54	223	46					482	100
	PGR	460	48	497	52					957	100
2018/2019	UG	2794	48	2970	52	2	0.03			5766	100
	PGT	280	56	220	44			1	0.20	501	100
	PGR	433	46	509	54					942	100

Figure 4: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science




Faculty of Social Sciences

The gender balance for the Faculty of Social Sciences at the University in 2018/2019 remains largely unchanged. On average, the highest percentage of students in the Faculty of Social Sciences in PGT and PGR are female. In UG there is a fairly gender balance.

Table 5: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences

		Female		Male		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	2730	48	2913	52	5643	100
	PGT	1997	63	1151	37	3148	100
	PGR	226	57	172	43	398	100
2017/2018	UG	2803	48	3083	52	5886	100
	PGT	2376	65	1286	35	3662	100
	PGR	197	55	164	45	361	100
2018/2019	UG	2884	48	3138	52	6022	100
	PGT	2599	67	1262	33	3861	100
	PGR	189	54	160	46	349	100

Figure 5: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences



Disabled students


Faculty of Arts

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Arts is increasing in 2018/2019 in UG, PGT and PGR. At PGR the 20% of the students have a disability. The proportion of students without a disability continues to be higher. The faculty of Arts is the faculty with the highest percentage of disabled students.

Table 6: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	3477	85	622	15	4099	100
	PGT	459	85	78	15	537	100
	PGR	254	88	34	12	288	100
2017/2018	UG	3417	83	705	17	4122	100
	PGT	455	83	96	17	551	100
	PGR	238	84	45	16	283	100
2018/2019	UG	3338	81	764	19	4102	100
	PGT	421	84	83	16	504	100
	PGR	216	80	54	20	270	100

Figure 6: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts




Faculty of Engineering

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Engineering is significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. However, the proportion of disabled students is slowly increasing throughout the years. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the lowest percentage of disabled students.

Table 7: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	2847	91	297	9	3144	100
	PGT	405	95	22	5	427	100
	PGR	484	93	37	7	521	100
2017/2018	UG	3047	90	353	10	3400	100
	PGT	420	94	27	6	447	100
	PGR	480	92	43	8	523	100
2018/2019	UG	3179	89	404	11	3583	100
	PGT	517	95	25	5	542	100
	PGR	473	90	53	10	526	100

Figure 7: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences is lower than the number of students without a disability. However, the proportion of disabled students is slowly increasing throughout the years, except for PGR students where the percentage has remained stable for the past three years at around 12%.

Table 8: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	3334	86	541	14	3875	100
	PGT	689	86	108	14	797	100
	PGR	712	88	95	12	807	100
2017/2018	UG	3306	85	591	15	3897	100
	PGT	650	87	99	13	749	100
	PGR	737	88	98	12	835	100
2018/2019	UG	3276	83	675	17	3951	100
	PGT	690	85	120	15	810	100
	PGR	730	88	96	12	826	100

Figure 8: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences




Faculty of Science

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Science is lower than the number of students without a disability. However, the proportion of disabled students is slowly increasing throughout the years, except for PGT students where there has been a decrease of 2% from 2016/2017 and 2018/2019.

Table 9: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	4693	87	673	13	5366	100
	PGT	394	86	65	14	459	100
	PGR	870	89	106	11	976	100
2017/2018	UG	4835	87	724	13	5559	100
	PGT	424	88	59	12	483	100
	PGR	853	89	104	11	957	100
2018/2019	UG	4971	86	795	14	5766	100
	PGT	439	88	61	12	500	100
	PGR	824	87	118	13	942	100

Figure 9: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science




Faculty of Social Sciences

The number of students with a disability in the Faculty of Social Sciences continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. Together with the Faculty of Engineering, the Faculty of Social Sciences is the Faculty with the lower percentage of disabled students.

Table 10: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	5033	89	610	11	5643	100
	PGT	2913	93	235	7	3148	100
	PGR	371	93	27	7	398	100
2017/2018	UG	5202	88	684	12	5886	100
	PGT	3406	93	256	7	3662	100
	PGR	334	93	27	7	361	100
2018/2019	UG	5270	88	752	12	6022	100
	PGT	3595	93	266	7	3861	100
	PGR	318	91	31	9	349	100

Figure 10: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences



BAME


Faculty of Arts

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Arts is lower than the number of white students. There are not data (Not known) only for a very small proportion of students. However, the proportion of BAME students is slowly increasing throughout the years, especially in UG where it reaches 20% in 2018/2019.

Table 11: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	643	16	3415	83	41	1	4099	100
	PGT	129	24	378	71	29	5	536	100
	PGR	55	19	218	76	15	5	288	100
2017/2018	UG	761	18	3325	81	38	1	4124	100
	PGT	124	23	401	73	26	5	551	100
	PGR	58	20	213	75	12	4	283	100
2018/2019	UG	829	20	3231	79	42	1	4102	100
	PGT	131	26	352	70	21	4	504	100
	PGR	50	19	207	77	13	5	270	100

Figure 11: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts




Faculty of Engineering

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Engineering is slightly higher than the number of white students in PGT and PGR. The difference is more evident in the PGT where during the 2018/2109 there has been an increase of 10% which brought the proportion of BAME students to 77%. In UG there is a good balance between BAME and white students. The faculty of Engineering is the faculty with the highest percentage of BAME students.

Table 12: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	1464	47	1644	52	36	1	3144	100
	PGT	282	66	132	31	13	3	427	100
	PGR	270	52	236	45	15	3	521	100
2017/2018	UG	1553	46	1801	53	47	1	3401	100
	PGT	300	67	133	30	15	3	448	100
	PGR	279	53	225	43	19	4	523	100
2018/2019	UG	1579	44	1952	54	52	1	3583	100
	PGT	415	77	107	20	20	4	542	100
	PGR	278	53	227	43	21	4	526	100

Figure 12: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The number of BAME students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences has remained stable for the past three years at around 27% in UG, 32% in PGT and 41% in PGR.

Table 13: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	995	26	2845	73	35	1	3875	100
	PGT	259	33	526	66	11	1	796	100
	PGR	330	41	456	57	21	3	807	100
2017/2018	UG	1083	28	2785	71	29	1	3897	100
	PGT	240	32	494	66	15	2	749	100
	PGR	343	41	474	57	18	2	835	100
2018/2019	UG	1125	28	2787	71	40	1	3952	100
	PGT	261	32	546	67	3	0	810	100
	PGR	337	41	472	57	17	2	826	100

Figure 13: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences




Faculty of Science

In 2018/2019 more BAME students attend the Faculty of Science, especially in UG and PGT, while there is a 1% decrease in PGR. In PGT, the increase is significant. It is around 13%. In fact, in 2016/2017 the BAME students were the 40% and in 2018/2019 are 53%.

Table 14: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	1667	31	3649	68	51	1	5367	100
	PGT	183	40	266	58	12	3	461	100
	PGR	302	31	640	66	34	3	976	100
2017/2018	UG	1824	33	3682	66	53	1	5559	100
	PGT	206	43	266	55	11	2	483	100
	PGR	275	29	650	68	32	3	957	100
2018/2019	UG	2012	35	3700	64	54	1	5766	100
	PGT	265	53	220	44	16	3	501	100
	PGR	271	29	637	68	34	4	942	100

Figure 14: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science




Faculty of Social Sciences

As for the Faculty of Science also in the Faculty of Social Sciences, there has been a significant increase of BAME students (12%) in PGT from 2016/2017 to 2018/2019. Instead, in UG and PGR the proportion throughout the years has remained stable. The proportion between the BAME students and white students in the faculty of Social Sciences is well balanced.

Table 15: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	2170	38	3412	60	60	1	5642	100
	PGT	1404	45	1638	52	107	3	3149	100
	PGR	166	42	218	55	14	4	398	100
2017/2018	UG	2250	38	3577	61	59	1	5886	100
	PGT	1936	53	1608	44	118	3	3662	100
	PGR	146	40	200	55	15	4	361	100
2018/2019	UG	2384	40	3581	59	57	1	6022	100
	PGT	2216	57	1521	39	124	3	3861	100
	PGR	153	44	178	51	18	5	349	100

Figure 15: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences



International


Faculty of Arts

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Arts (both European students and overseas students) has remained stable in the past three years. In UG the large majority is Home students, while in PGT the proportion between home and international is well balanced (with a percentage of overseas students higher than European students). In PGR the majority is still home students but there is around a 33% of International students.

Table 16: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Arts

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	3854	94	97	2	148	4	4099	100
	PGT	283	53	53	10	200	37	536	100
	PGR	186	65	47	16	55	19	288	100
2017/2018	UG	3860	94	101	2	161	4	4122	100
	PGT	295	54	57	10	199	36	551	100
	PGR	192	68	37	13	54	19	283	100
2018/2019	UG	3823	93	105	3	175	4	4103	100
	PGT	285	57	47	9	172	34	504	100
	PGR	185	69	35	13	50	19	270	100

Figure 16: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Arts




Faculty of Engineering

The proportion of home students and international students in the Faculty of Engineering (both European students and overseas students) has slightly changed in the past three years. In UG the majority is Home students, while in PGT and PGR the large majority is International students. The Faculty of Engineering is the faculty with a higher percentage of International students. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 17: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	2056	65	164	5	923	29	3143	100
	PGT	107	25	46	11	274	64	427	100
	PGR	152	29	102	20	267	51	521	100
2017/2018	UG	2287	67	176	5	938	28	3401	100
	PGT	112	25	43	10	292	65	447	100
	PGR	159	30	93	18	271	52	523	100
2018/2019	UG	2499	70	163	5	921	26	3583	100
	PGT	100	18	34	6	408	75	542	100
	PGR	174	33	91	17	261	50	526	100

Figure 17: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Engineering




Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

The proportion of Home students in the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences continues to be smaller in UG where the International students are only the 10%. The proportion between Home and International students is slightly less different in PGT than in UG, while in PGR there is a more fairly balance proportion. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 18: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	3468	89	160	4	248	6	3876	100
	PGT	577	72	67	8	152	19	796	100
	PGR	460	57	101	13	246	30	807	100
2017/2018	UG	3511	90	138	4	248	6	3897	100
	PGT	531	71	68	9	150	20	749	100
	PGR	469	56	109	13	257	31	835	100
2018/2019	UG	3561	90	127	3	263	7	3951	100
	PGT	618	76	48	6	144	18	810	100
	PGR	474	57	100	12	252	31	826	100

Figure 18: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences




Faculty of Science

The proportion of Home students and international students in the Faculty of Science (both European students and overseas students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority is Home students (around 80%). Instead, in PGT and PGR there is a well balance proportion between the Home and the International students. The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 19: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Science

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	4355	81	241	4	770	14	5366	100
	PGT	251	55	52	11	157	34	460	100
	PGR	535	55	185	19	256	26	976	100
2017/2018	UG	4507	81	236	4	817	15	5560	100
	PGT	247	51	54	11	182	38	483	100
	PGR	541	57	178	19	238	25	957	100
2018/2019	UG	4662	81	237	4	867	15	5766	100
	PGT	231	46	33	7	237	47	501	100
	PGR	557	59	159	17	226	24	942	100

Figure 19: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Science



Faculty of Social Sciences

The proportion of Home students and international students in the Faculty of Social Sciences (both European students and overseas students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority is Home students (around 80%).


In PGT, the International students represent the majority and instead, in PGR there is a well balance proportion between the Home and the international students with a slightly majority of International students.

The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 20: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	4446	79	280	5	916	16	5642	100
	PGT	1225	39	340	11	1584	50	3149	100
	PGR	174	44	61	15	163	41	398	100
2017/2018	UG	4743	81	297	5	846	14	5886	100
	PGT	1247	34	367	10	2048	56	3662	100
	PGR	169	47	49	14	143	40	361	100
2018/2019	UG	4811	80	293	5	918	15	6022	100
	PGT	1159	30	293	8	2409	62	3861	100
	PGR	157	45	43	12	149	43	349	100

Figure 20: International student breakdown (percentage) for the Faculty of Social Sciences



3. COMPARISON WITH OTHER UNIVERSITIES

Overview

In this section data concerning the University of Nottingham, a comparison with other Universities in East Midlands and a comparison with the national data are provided. Gender, Disabled students, BAME and International are the analysed features. The Universities of East Midlands included in the comparison are: Bishop Grosseteste (Lincoln), De Montfort (Leicester), Derby, Leicester, Lincoln, Loughborough, Northampton and Nottingham Trent. Data for these Universities come from the Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) University Data Set (THUDS).

The HESA report published on the 25th of September 2019 (Equality in higher education: students statistical report 2019) has been used for comparison with the higher education national statistics. This report covers data on age, disability, ethnicity and gender of the higher education (HE) workforce in the academic year 2017/18.

Gender


University of Nottingham

The gender balance for the University of Nottingham in 2018/2019 remains largely unchanged. On average, in UG and PGR there is a fairly gender balance, while in PGT the percentage of female (around 63%) is higher than male (37%).

Table 21: Gender Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham

		Female		Male		Other		Unknown		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	11325	51	10793	49	9	0.04			22127	100
	PGT	3338	61	2120	39					5458	100
	PGR	1434	48	1555	52					2989	100
2017/2018	UG	11650	51	11208	49	7	0.03			22865	100
	PGT	3734	63	2230	37					5964	100
	PGR	1449	49	1509	51					2958	100
2018/2019	UG	12011	51	11409	49	5	0.02			23425	100
	PGT	3979	63	2301	37			4	0.06	6284	100
	PGR	1410	48	1502	52					2912	100

Figure 21: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham



Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of female and male for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph. The data come from two different datasets which may have slightly different census points. In UG, except for Bishop Grosseteste that have a very high percentage of female student (82%) and Northampton (64%) and for Loughborough with a high percentage of male student (60%), all the other Universities has a fairly gender balance. The average percentage is around 57% female students and 43% male students. In PGT the percentage of female students is higher than UG with an average of 59%, while in PGR the percentage goes down to 52%. All 9 universities are included in the calculation of the average percentage.

Table 22: Gender Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities

2017/2018	UG		PGT			PGR		
East Midlands Universities	Female (%)	Male (%)	Female (%)	Male (%)	Other (%)	Female (%)	Male (%)	Other (%)
University of Nottingham	53.2	46.8	63.4	36.6		48.2	51.8	
Bishop Grosseteste	82.0	18.0	73.7	26.3		75.0	25.0	
De Montfort	56.50	43.50	50.7	49.3		46.8	53.2	
Derby	56.40	43.60	65.5	34.5		47.7	52.3	
Leicester	51.10	48.90	59.6	40.4		52.5	47.5	
Lincoln	54.10	45.80	58.5	41.4		51.4	48.6	
Loughborough	39.40	60.60	43.1	56.9		41.3	58.7	
Northampton	64.40	35.60	66.3	33.7		62.8	37.2	
Nottingham Trent	56.00	44.00	58.0	41.6	0.4	47.3	52	0.7

Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of female in UG is 56.4%, in PGT is 62.3% and in PGR is 50.1%. The University of Nottingham is aligned with the national average with 51% in UG, 63% in PGT and 49% in PGR.

Disabled students


University of Nottingham

The number of students with a disability in the University of Nottingham continues to be significantly lower than the number of students without a disability. However, from 2016/2017 to 2018/2019 there have been an increase of 2% both in UG and in PGR. In PGT the percentage remained the same.

Table 23: Disability Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham

		No		Yes		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	19384	88	2743	12	22127	100
	PGT	4946	91	512	9	5458	100
	PGR	2690	90	299	10	2989	100
2017/2018	UG	19808	87	3057	13	22865	100
	PGT	5419	91	545	9	5964	100
	PGR	2641	89	317	11	2958	100
2018/2019	UG	20035	86	3390	14	23425	100
	PGT	5721	91	563	9	6284	100
	PGR	2561	88	352	12	2913	100

Figure 22: Disability Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham



Universities of East Midlands

There are no data about disabled students in the HESA University Data Set (THUDS).

Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of student with a disability in UG is 16%, in PGT is 12.8% and in PGR is 12.8%. The University of Nottingham is slightly under the national average with 13% in UG, 9% in PGT and 11% in PGR. However, the number of students with a disability is increasing in 2018/2019.

BAME


University of Nottingham

During the last three years, there has been a significant increase of BAME students (12%) in PGT. Also, in UG there has been an increase of the number of BAME students (3%) even if it is not as significant as in PGT. Instead, in PGR the proportion throughout the years has remained stable.

Table 24: BAME Breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham

		BAME		White		Not known		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	6940	31	14964	68	223	1	22127	100
	PGT	2272	42	3009	55	177	3	5458	100
	PGR	1123	38	1767	59	99	3	2989	100
2017/2018	UG	7470	33	15170	66	225	1	22865	100
	PGT	2814	47	2963	50	187	3	5964	100
	PGR	1101	37	1761	60	96	3	2958	100
2018/2019	UG	7928	34	15251	65	246	1	23425	100
	PGT	3296	52	2803	45	185	3	6284	100
	PGR	1089	37	1721	59	103	4	2913	100

Figure 23: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the university of Nottingham



Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of BAME, White and Other (or Not Known) students for the University of Nottingham shown in Table 25 are different from the previous paragraph. The data come from two different datasets. Reasons for this difference include differences in classification of BAME status and different levels of ethnicity disclosure for different data sets. In the HESA dataset domiciled students are considered.

The difference is more significant in PGT and PGR. If we consider THUDS data, in UG the average of BAME students is 21%, in PGT is 16% and in PGR is 13%. The number of BAME students is decreasing with the level of study. The higher is the level of study and the lower is the number of BAME students. This is true for most Universities in East Midlands.

However, if we consider the dataset UNUK Student Population Explorer, the statistics are different and in PGT the percentage of BAME students in 2017/2018 is higher than UG and PGT with a value of 47%.

Table 25: BAME Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities

2017/2018	UG			PGT			PGR		
East Midlands Universities	BAME (%)	White (%)	Other (%)	BAME (%)	White (%)	Other (%)	BAME (%)	White (%)	Other (%)
University of Nottingham	18.4	75.7	5.9	15.7	79.1	5.2	10.5	83.1	6.4
Bishop Grosseteste	1.0	97.3	1.6	1.6	96.8	1.6	0.0	100.0	0.0
De Montfort	43.80	50.10	6.10	38.1	57.40	4.4	27.8	66.2	6.1
Derby	17.10	78.60	4.30	14.9	82.00	3.2	15.7	79.5	4.8
Leicester	38.10	55.50	6.40	17.8	77.10	5.1	16.5	78.9	4.6
Lincoln	6.20	90.70	3.10	5.8	91.90	2.3	6.8	87.1	6.1
Loughborough	15.70	79.10	5.20	17.1	79.70	3.3	13.0	82.5	4.5
Northampton	36.30	58.60	5.10	19.5	77.60	2.9	13.5	83	3.5
Nottingham Trent	17.60	76.60	5.80	20.6	73.8	5.6	18.1	70.4	11.6

Higher Education National Data

In UK (England, Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) the percentage of domiciled students from the BAME community in UG is 24.7%, in PGT is 22.6% and in PGR is 17.2%. The University of Nottingham is slightly above the national average with 33% in UG, 47% in PGT and 37% in PGR according to UNUK Student Population Explorer. However, according to THUDS data, the percentages are different. In UG is 18.4%, in PGT is 15.7% and PGR is 10.5%. It must be considered that there is a good percentage of “Other” data in the THUDS dataset.

International

University of Nottingham


The proportion of Home students and international students in the University of Nottingham (both European students and overseas students) has remained stable in the past three years in UG. In UG the large majority is Home students (around 83%). In PGT, the International students represent the majority and instead, in PGR there is a well balance proportion between the Home and the international students with a slightly majority of International students.

The percentage of overseas students is higher than the percentage of European students.

Table 26: International student breakdown (headcount and percentage) for the University of Nottingham

		Home		EU		OS		Total	
		HC	%	HC	%	HC	%	HC	%
2016/2017	UG	18178	82	943	4	3006	14	22127	100
	PGT	2532	46	558	10	2368	43	5458	100
	PGR	1507	50	495	17	987	33	2989	100
2017/2018	UG	18908	83	948	4	3009	13	22865	100
	PGT	2504	42	589	10	2871	48	5964	100
	PGR	1530	52	465	16	963	33	2958	100
2018/2019	UG	19356	83	925	4	3144	13	23425	100
	PGT	2459	39	455	7	3370	54	6284	100
	PGR	1547	53	428	15	938	32	2913	100

Figure 24: International student breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham



Universities of East Midlands

The percentages of Home/EU students and Overseas students for the University of Nottingham are slightly different from the previous paragraph. The data come from two different datasets. In all levels of study, the highest percentage of students is represented by Home/European students with an average of 92% in UG, 71% in PGT and 70% in PGR. However, the higher is the level of study and the higher is the percentages of overseas students. In the University of Nottingham, the highest percentage of overseas students is in PGT, instead of PGR.

Table 27: International Breakdown (percentage) for the University of Nottingham and East Midlands Universities

2017/2018	UG		PGT		PGR	
East Midlands Universities	Home/EU (%)	OS (%)	Home/EU (%)	OS (%)	Home/EU (%)	OS (%)
University of Nottingham	87.22	12.78	63.94	36.06	66.72	33.28
Bishop Grosseteste	100.00	0.00	99.04	0.96	N/A	N/A
De Montfort	85.06	14.94	58.94	41.06	65.63	34.38
Derby	96.57	3.43	93.37	6.63	82.14	17.86
Leicester	87.70	12.30	52.10	47.90	66.52	33.48
Lincoln	95.89	4.11	79.47	20.53	80.23	19.77
Loughborough	92.37	7.63	47.22	52.78	68.39	31.61
Northampton	93.22	6.78	76.32	23.68	71.11	28.89
Nottingham Trent	93.62	6.38	70.64	29.36	62.67	37.33