



How is Covid-19 impacting women and men's working lives in the UK?

Research Summary 2: How has the risk of precarious work evolved in the Covid-19 UK?

Luis D. Torres, Tracey Warren and Annegreet Veeken, and the UK Women's Budget Group

July 2021



Economic and Social Research Council





Contents

| 1 | 1 Background3 | | | | | |
|----|---|--|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | 2 Has work become more precarious during the Covid-19 pandemic? | | | | | |
| 3 | 3 Part-time work | | | | | |
| 3 | 3.1 Who is in part-time work?5 | | | | | |
| | 3.1.1 | Slight decline in part-time working for female workers | 5 | | | |
| | 3.1.2 | Slight decline in part-time working for white and ethnic-minority groups | | | | |
| | 3.1.3 | Virtually no change in part-time working across occupational groups | 7 | | | |
| | 3.1.4 | Intersectionality matters for part-time work | 7 | | | |
| 3 | 3.2 What are the reasons for part-time work? | | | | | |
| | 3.2.1 | Male part-timers cannot find a full-time job, female part-timers do not want on 10 | е | | | |
| | 3.2.2 | Part-time workers from ethnic minorities struggle more to find a full-time job | 11 | | | |
| | 3.2.3 | Part-timers in lower-level occupations struggle more to find full-time jobs | 11 | | | |
| | 3.2.4 | Minority-ethnic part-timers in most occupations struggle to find a full-time job. | 12 | | | |
| 4 | Temporary work | | | | | |
| 4 | .1 Wł | no is in temporary work? | | | | |
| | 4.1.1 | Slight increase in temporary work for male and female workers | 14 | | | |
| | 4.1.2 | Fixed contracts are increasing | | | | |
| | 4.1.3 | Temporary work affects ethnic minorities the most | | | | |
| | 4.1.4 | Temporary work increased among lower-level occupations | | | | |
| 4 | .2 Wł | nat are the reasons for temporary work? | | | | |
| | 4.2.1 | The pandemic has made it harder to find a permanent job | | | | |
| | 4.2.2 | Fixed contracts thrive when people cannot find permanent jobs | | | | |
| | 4.2.3 | Fixed contracts and agency work increased the most among minority-ethnic and | | | | |
| | white t | emporary workers | | | | |
| | 4.2.4 | Fixed contracts are on the rise for all occupational groups | | | | |
| 5 | - | ypical employment | | | | |
| 5 | | no is in very atypical employment? | | | | |
| | 5.1.1 | Flexitime has increased since the pandemic started | | | | |
| | 5.1.2 | Atypical employment ethnic gap is widening | | | | |
| | 5.1.3 | Flexitime is more common in high/middle occupations | | | | |
| 6 | | sions and Recommendations | | | | |
| - | | nclusions | | | | |
| 6 | | commendations | | | | |
| 7 | | oject and its Data | | | | |
| 8 | | re information | | | | |
| 9 | | vledgements | | | | |
| 10 | Citation | ٦ | 29 | | | |



1 Background

Covid-19 has proven to be not solely a health crisis. Measures adopted by businesses and governments, such as flexible working and the job retention scheme, have shaped the impacts of the pandemic in ways not comparable to previous social and economic crises, while lockdowns and social distancing measures have had an unequal impact on those jobs frequently done by women and men. We set out to examine if Covid-19 is narrowing or reinforcing existing inequalities among women and men in their working lives. Our first research summary focused on unemployment and job loss before and during the pandemic period. This summary examines precarious work.

Many factors contribute to the rise of precarious work. Typically, economic crisis and its aftermath have been a key driver affecting the risk of precariousness. As employers and employees find themselves operating in a more uncertain context, new hiring take place on the basis of atypical or non-standard work¹ such as part-time, temporary, fixed-term, casual work, and zero hours contracts among others. Job-seekers tend to accept these contracts, as otherwise they would continue in unemployment.

This research summary aims at understanding how Covid-19 has impacted, if at all, on the precarisation of work in the UK. To answer this question, we use the UK's largest study on employment circumstances, the Labour Force Survey (LFS), drawing on analysis of the 2019/20/21 releases. We follow the trend pre- and post-pandemic, considering March/May 2020 as the reference point. We trace the pre-pandemic trend from the first 2019 quarter (Jan/March). We do this to identify if the Covid-19 pandemic is narrowing or reinforcing existing inequalities in the levels of precariousness for workers aged between 18-64.

¹ EUROFOUND (2017). Atypical work. Retrieved from: https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/observatories/eurwork/industrialrelations-dictionary/atypical-work



2 Has work become more precarious during the Covid-19 pandemic?

We focus on understanding how Covid-19 has impacted on the precarisation of work in the UK. Precarious work involves instability, insecurity, and social and/or economic vulnerability. However, there is no universally accepted definition of precarious work². The European Parliament's 2017 resolution³ on working conditions and precarious employment defines it as 'employment which does not comply with EU, international and national standards and laws and/or does not provide sufficient resources for a decent life or adequate social protection'. In this respect, precarious work is frequently associated with non-standard or atypical employment. This is generally any employment arrangement that is not full-time and permanent.

Benach et al. (2016)⁴ describe three approaches to defining precarious working common in existing research: (1) defining precariousness as relating to certain sectors of the labour market; (2) defining it as referring to any so-called non-standard work type such as zero hours (i.e. any employment that is not through a permanent, full-time contract); and (3) defining it in relation to the attributes of a job, taking into account various aspects of the employment context. Although part-time contracts are actually quite 'standard' for women workers in the UK, we nevertheless follow the second approach to precarious work and explore it in relation to gender, ethnicity and occupational class.

We concentrate our analysis around two dimensions⁵:

- Employment arrangements: part-time work, temporary work and atypical employment including flexitime, annualised hours, zero hours contracts, job sharing and on-call working.
- Individual characteristics: gender, ethnicity, and occupational class.

² Jain, A. & Hassard, J. (2017). Precarious work: definitions, workers affected and OSH consequences. OHS Wiki. Retrieved from: https://oshwiki.eu/wiki/Precarious_work:_definitions,_workers_affected_and_OSH_consequences

³ European Parliament resolution of 4 July 2017 on working conditions and precarious employment (2016/2221(INI))

⁴ Benach, J., Vives, A., Tarafa, G., Delclos, C., Muntaner, C.: What should we know about precarious employment and health in 2025? Framing the agenda for the next decade of research. Int. J. Epidemiol. 45(1), 232–238 (2016)

⁵ Andrea Broughton et al. (2016). Precarious Employment: Patterns, Trends and Policy Strategies in Europe. European Parliament. Retrieved from: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document.html?reference=IPOL_STU(2016)587285



3 Part-time work

3.1 Who is in part-time work?

People in employment can work on a full-time or a part-time basis. A part-time worker is someone who works fewer hours than a full-time worker. There is no specific number of hours that makes someone full or part-time: a full-time worker in Europe will usually work 35 hours or more a week but in the UK, the 30-hour split is often used to denote whether someone is full- or part-time employed.

In the UK Labour Force Survey, the split between full-time and part-time employment is based on respondents' self-classification. The average hours worked by part-timers, for all the periods included in this analysis, is 19.6 hours.

3.1.1 Slight decline in part-time working for female workers

Part-time work is prevalent in the UK, especially so among women workers who have caring responsibilities⁶. The level of part-time employment among women had been tapering gently downwards long before the pandemic hit, and this decline continued in 2020, falling from 39% to 35% of women workers in a part-time job. Men had seen some increases in part-time working in the decade after the recession of 2008-9⁷. The pandemic period continued the slight decline for women but brought little change for men as shown in Figure 1.

⁶ Warren T, Lyonette C (2018) Good, bad and very bad part-time jobs for women?: re-examining the importance of occupational class for job quality since the great recession in Britain, Work, Employment and Society 33(4): 747-767. https://nottingham-repository.worktribe.com/output/934140/good-bad-and-very-bad-part-time-jobs-for-women-re-examining-the-importance-of-occupational-class-for-job-quality-since-the-great-recession-in-britain

⁷ Warren T (2021) Work-time, male-breadwinning and the division of domestic labour: male part-time and full-time workers in unsettled times, Sociology, forthcoming 2021. https://nottingham-repository.worktribe.com/output/5508319/work-time-male-breadwinning-and-the-division-of-domestic-labour-male-part-time-and-full-time-workers-in-unsettled-times





Figure 1: Slight decline in part-time work for women

Source: UK Labour Force Survey (Person)

3.1.2 Slight decline in part-time working for white and ethnic-minority groups

% of workers in a part-time job, 2019/20/21

The pandemic saw the small part-time gap between ethnic-minority and white workers narrow further (see Figure 2). This was caused by a slight decline in part-time working among people from minority-ethnic groups after the summer of 2020, taking their level below that of the white majority.



Figure 2: Slight decline in part-time work for all groups

Jan- Apr- Jul- Oct- Jan- Feb- Mar- Apr- May- Jun- Jul- Aug- Sep- Oct- Nov- Dec- Jan- Feb-Mar Jun Sep Dec Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr



3.1.3 Virtually no change in part-time working across occupational groups

Part-time jobs are over-concentrated in routine and semi-routine occupations in the UK (over 35% of workers in this occupational band were working part-time through 2019, 2020 and 2021). Far fewer senior staff work part-time (below 15% of managers/professionals).

Figure 3 shows that the pandemic did little to alter this picture, and trends in relative levels of part-time working remained virtually unchanged across the occupational groupings in 2020. In 2021, the amount of lower supervisory/technical staff working part-time dipped from 13% to 9%. On the other hand, the self-employed (small employers and own account workers) have experienced a progressive rise in part-time working from a minimum of 23% pre-pandemic levels to a maximum of 26% since the pandemic hit.



Figure 3: Part-time trend remains virtually unchanged



We can usefully compare women workers with men, minority-ethnic workers with white, and people from one occupational class with those in other class groupings to identify inequalities in precarious work before and after the pandemic hit, but an intersectional approach recognises that gender, ethnicity and class all intersect with each other to shape working lives.



The story of relative stability in levels of part-time employment during the pandemic crisis (as seen in Figures 1-3) is actually shaped by the experiences of the employed white majority. Separating white from minority-ethnic workers reveals a far more unsettled picture for the latter group (see Figure 4).

Levels of part-time working were higher for women than men within each ethnic and occupational group. White and minority-ethnic women workers follow a similar trend when occupational groups are considered: with part-time employment levels highest in routine and semi-routine jobs. Part-time levels among the routine and semi-routine occupations remained close to 60% until the end of 2020 for both ethnic groups. As we entered 2021, the levels for ethnic minority women fell (to 48% in the December/February quarter) but then rose again in the following two quarters of 2021 (up to 55% of the women were working part-time). On the other hand, white female workers in routine/semi-routine jobs experienced a decline in part-time work in 2021, levelling out at 56% from March to April.

Compared to white female workers, minority-ethnic female workers saw a greater variability in part-time work for those in self-employment (small employers and own account workers) and lower supervisory/technical occupations. Self-employed minority-ethnic women saw their part-time employment levels peak at 60% just after the pandemic hit. Then, levels progressively decreased to reach 35% as we entered 2021, but then moved up again to 42% in February/April.

Minority-ethnic women working in lower supervisory/technical jobs saw real variation in their levels of part-time work. Pre-pandemic levels at the end of 2019 were as low as 20%. They increased to 29% at the beginning of 2020. By the time of the first lockdown, levels stood at 26% and then rose sharply to 42% at the end of 2020. As we entered 2021, levels fell to 20%, back to 2019 levels.





Figure 4: Intersectionality matters for part-time work

% of workers in a part-time job, 2019/20/21

Part-time levels for white male workers were far more stable than for minority-ethnic men. Minority-ethnic male workers employed in lower supervisory and technical occupations, as well as their self-employed peers, saw the highest increases in levels of part-time employment for all groups of men during 2020 but their part-time participation returned back to pre-pandemic levels in 2021. In marked contrast, minority-ethnic men in semi-routine and routine occupations saw a steep rise in part-time work from 2021, after a decline during 2020.

3.2 What are the reasons for part-time work?

There are various reasons for working on a part-time basis. The Labour Force Survey disaggregates part-time employment by reason as follows:

- those who could not find full-time jobs
- those who did not want full-time jobs
- those who were ill or were disabled
- those who were students or were at school

It also includes those who do not give a reason for part-time working. We focus on those who could not find and did not want full-time jobs in our analysis.

Source: UK Labour Force Survey (Person)



3.2.1 Male part-timers cannot find a full-time job, female part-timers do not want one

Part-time jobs are lower paid than full-time jobs, on the whole, and they bring a range of career penalties. Why people work part-time, given the weaker quality of part-time than full-time jobs, is strongly gendered.

Most female part-timers in the LFS say they do not want a full-time job (almost 80% in Figure 5). This is because they are invariably working part-time to fit around other roles in their lives. Women who work part-time to enable them to care for their children might actually like a full-time job if good quality and affordable child-care options were available to them, but the survey does not offer that as a response option. These women benefit from the flexibility offered by part-time employment to fulfil those additional roles, but they can often become 'stuck' in the low waged part-time labour force, unable to enter a full-time job later should they wish to, with serious ramifications for their own financial security in the short and longer terms. Women's life-time earnings are reduced when they work part-time and they build up a smaller pension pot than do full-timers, meaning lower incomes after retirement.

Other than students and older workers approaching retirement age, men who work part-time in the UK are largely doing so involuntarily: they are looking for full-time work but cannot find it. Levels of male involuntary part-time working rose from a minimum of 21% pre-pandemic to 28% since the pandemic hit. There are several possible reasons why men saw this increase. The uncertainties that the Covid-19 pandemic brought may have caused employers to increase part-time employment. A shortage of full-time job opportunities in some regions of the country, together with workers' inability to migrate due to lockdowns, may result in workers being restricted to taking up local part-time employment when they would have preferred to work full-time.







3.2.2 Part-time workers from ethnic minorities struggle more to find a full-time job

Workers from ethnic minority groups found it harder to find full-time work compared to their white counterparts before and after the pandemic hit. The pandemic increased the percentage of involuntary part-timers among both groups: it rose for white workers from 11% to 14%, but for ethnic minorities from 16% to almost a quarter at the end of 2020 (24%. Figure 6).

While white workers saw an increase from the August/October quarter in 2020, minority-ethnic workers felt it immediately after the first lockdown in March 2020. Ethnic minorities are slowly going back to lower pre-pandemic involuntary part-time rates in 2021, but white workers' levels keep rising.

People from white backgrounds are more likely to say that they work part-time because they do not want a full-time job than are minority ethnic part-timers, and there were no major changes in this before and during the pandemic. Most of these are white women, for whom part-time employment is a far more established way of working than it is for minority-ethnic women and for male workers, both minority-ethnic and white.



Figure 6: Ethnic minorities struggle more to find a full-time job

3.2.3 Part-timers in lower-level occupations struggle more to find full-time jobs

Workers in lower supervisory and technical occupations as well as those in semi-routine and routine occupations tend to have a harder time finding full-time employment (20% and above of the part-timers were involuntarily so in Figure 7). They also experienced a progressive growth in involuntary part-time working since the pandemic started. Part-timers in semi-routine and routine occupations saw an increase in the level of involuntary part-time working from 20% up until mid-2020 to 26% in 2021. Lower supervisory and technical part-time workers saw almost a doubling in



involuntary part-timers (reaching a maximum of 30% from a pre-pandemic minimum of 17%). Their levels dropped to 13% in January-March 2021, indicating some recovery for this group.

A sharp rise in involuntary part-time work was also experienced by the self-employed in 2020: from 10% to 18%. The increase stabilised at the end of 2020 and into 2021.

Trends for those who say they worked part-time because they did not want a full-time job remained relatively stable. Again, this is largely women with caring responsibilities or, for men, younger and older workers entering or leaving the labour force and using part-time jobs to transition in or out. However, small employers and own account workers as well as lower supervisory and technical occupations experienced the most variation (their rates declined). In 2021 their levels began to return to pre-pandemic figures.



Figure 7: Lower level occupations struggle more to find full-time jobs

3.2.4 Minority-ethnic part-timers in most occupations struggle to find a full-time job

When the focus is on those part-time workers who could not find a full-time job, the intersection between gender, ethnicity and occupational class becomes even more relevant.

We know that involuntary part-time working is more common among men than among women. But male part-timers from ethnic minorities, and in most occupations, experienced the most variation in their levels of involuntary part-time work after the pandemic hit. Involuntary part-time work increased dramatically for them until the start of 2021 when levels dropped for most groups.

The routine and semi-routine male part-time workers from ethnic minorities stand out. Their levels were high and remained stable until the end of 2020 when they saw a sharp decline (from 47% to 30%). For all the other groups, routine and semi-routine part-time workers experienced a rise in their involuntary part-time work after the pandemic hit.



Figure 8: Minority-ethnic women and men from most occupations struggle to find a full-time job

Part-timers who could not find a full-time job, 2019/20/21





4 Temporary work

4.1 Who is in temporary work?

Temporary employment can increase the risk of precariousness as non-permanent contracts do not allow workers to plan in the long term. A temporary contract is one that has a specific end date, where the worker is only employed for a certain period of time.

For those in temporary employment, the Labour Force Survey further identifies the following arrangements:

- Working for an employment agency
- Casual type of work
- Seasonal work
- Under contract for a fixed period or fixed task
- Some other reason

4.1.1 Slight increase in temporary work for male and female workers

A small proportion of workers in the LFS report being in temporary employment. Women are slightly more likely to work in a temporary job than are men and the gender gap in temporary working remained stable since the pandemic started. Both female and male workers experienced a slight increase at the end of 2020 (from 5% for women and 4% for men in March/May to 6% and 5%, respectively). As we entered 2021 levels for male and female workers declined and then rose slightly again after March.



Figure 9: Slight increase in temporary work



4.1.2 Fixed contracts are increasing

Most of the various kinds of temporary job declined after the pandemic hit as organisations cut back on their non-core staff (agency, casual and seasonal working all fell) but fixed term contracts grew among the temporary workforce, for male and for female workers.

Fully 53% of male temporary workers were on fixed term contracts in summer 2020 (40% at the start of the year). The rate stabilised at around 50% in 2020 and 2021. This was a 10% increase compared to the pre-pandemic rate.

Female workers followed a similar trend. However, the rise in the proportion of women temporary workers on fixed term contracts had already started before the first lockdown, stabilised during, and increased slightly at the end of 2020 and beginning of 2021 peaking at 54%. The pre-pandemic rate had been 42% (2019).



4.1.3 Temporary work affects ethnic minorities the most

Minority-ethnic workers are more likely to work in temporary jobs than are white workers (with an ethnic gap of about 3% points). They experienced a 1% point decline in levels of temporary working at the start of 2020, followed by a progressive increase that peaked at 8.5%. The pattern for white workers was more stable.





Figure 11: Temporary work affects ethnic minorities the most

4.1.4 Temporary work increased among lower-level occupations

Temporary work increased among all occupational groups from the second semester in 2020. The rise was particularly noticeable for lower-level occupations including semi routine and routine (1% point increase), lower supervisory/technical jobs (2% points increase) and intermediate (1% point increase). Management and professional occupations remained mostly stable after a very slight increased after the first lockdown.

As we entered 2021, routine and semi-routine occupations levels moved closer back down to prepandemic levels. Similarly, lower supervisory/technical jobs saw a 1% point decline, but remaining higher than pre-pandemic levels still.



Figure 12: Temporary work rose among lower level occupations

% of workers in a temporary job, 2019/20/21





4.2 What are the reasons for temporary work?

The Labour Force Survey also asks why people take non-permanent jobs. Options included are:

- Contract which includes period of training
- Had a contract for probationary period
- Could not find a permanent job
- Did not want a permanent job
- Some other reason

We focus on "could not find a permanent job" and "Did not want a permanent job" for the analysis presented in the next section.

4.2.1 The pandemic has made it harder to find a permanent job

The percentage of male and female workers taking temporary work voluntarily, because they do not want a permanent job, declined since the second semester in 2020. Those temporary workers who could not find a permanent job increased in the same period. The trends were similar when ethnicity and occupational class are included in the analysis.

Figure 13: Pandemic has made harder to find a permanent job

Reasons for temporary work, 2019/20/21





4.2.2 Fixed contracts thrive when people cannot find permanent jobs

What jobs were taken by those who could not find permanent work? Most involuntary temporary workers were on fixed term contracts. These figures rose almost 10% points for men and women during the pandemic, compared with the lowest levels of fixed term contracts in 2019.



Figure 14: Fixed contracts thrive when permanent jobs don't

4.2.3 Fixed contracts and agency work increased the most among minority-ethnic and white temporary workers

The picture for involuntary temporary work among white and minority-ethnic workers in Figure 15 is similar to the one depicted in Figure 14 for fixed term contracts. In both cases, the percentage of fixed term contracts were increasing among involuntarily-temporary workers, but for white workers especially.

Temporary agency work also showed a slight rise for white workers, while minority-ethnic workers experienced a steep decline in 2020.





Figure 15: Fixed contracts and agency work trends differ among groups

4.2.4 Fixed contracts are on the rise for all occupational groups

Involuntary temporary workers in all occupational classes experienced an increase in fixed term contracts. Management and professionals saw the highest increases. Very few managerial/professional staff - who were working on a temporary contract because they could not find permanent work - reported being agency/casual/seasonal workers.

While involuntary temporary agency work declined for most occupations, workers in intermediary jobs experienced a rise and lower supervisory and technical occupations saw the highest variability. Casual work also increased slightly for intermediary, lower supervisory and technical occupations. Causal work continued to rise for intermediary occupations in 2021, whereas rates were decreasing for lower supervisory and technical workers after the end of 2020.





Figure 16: Fixed contracts are on the rise for all occupational groups

Could not find a permanent job, 2019/20/21



5 Very atypical employment

5.1 Who is in very atypical employment?

The Labour Force Survey collects information about a variety of work arrangements. These arrangements include flexible working hours (Flexitime), annualised hours contract, term time working, job sharing, nine day fortnight, four and a half day week, zero hours contract, and on-call working. Some of these are better quality arrangements, often actively sought after by some types of workers (e.g. flexiwork to help support caring roles). Other arrangements, such as zero hours contracts, provide flexibility to the employer rather than the worker, and are highly problematic for those workers looking for predictability in their wages and working schedules.

From these arrangements, we consider the following as very atypical forms of work:

- *flexible working hours (flexitime)*: Employees can vary their daily start and finish times each day. Over an accounting period (often four weeks or a calendar month) debit and credit hours can be carried over into another accounting period.
- *zero hours contract*: a contract where a person is not contracted to work a set number of hours, and is only paid for the number of hours that they actually work.
- *on-call working*: on-call employees are those expected to be available for work outside of their regular hours, sometimes at short notice.

We also include *annualised hours contract*. In these contracts, the number of hours an employee has to work are calculated over a full year. For example, instead of 40 hours per week, employees are contracted to say 1900 hours per year. Longer hours are worked over certain parts of the year and shorter hours at other periods. Variations in hours are related to seasonal factors or fluctuation in demand.

5.1.1 Flexitime has increased since the pandemic started

Flexitime was the most prevalent of the atypical work arrangements, followed by working annualized hours. Male and female workers both experienced a rise in their share of flexitime and annualised hours in 2020, with noticeable spikes upwards during the first lockdown. Flexitime was on average 2% higher for women compared to men since the second semester in 2020 and into 2021.

A small increased in zero-hours contracts was also experienced by women. While men's rates remained around 2%, female workers peaked at 3% and remained above 2% since the pandemic hit.





Figure 17: Flexitime has increased since the pandemic started

Atypical work arrangements, 2019/20/21

5.1.2 Atypical employment ethnic gap is widening

White and minority-ethnic workers similarly experienced rises in their share of flexitime and annualised hours, with peaks again after lockdown in 2020. Flexitime grew on average 2% higher for minority-ethnic workers compared to white workers at the end of 2020 and into 2021.

Minority-ethnic workers experienced a higher increase in their share of zero-hours contracts compared to white workers. White workers' rates remained just above 2% during 2020 and after the first lockdown, and their rates were closer to pre-pandemic values as we moved into 2021. Minority-ethnic workers, on the other hand, peaked at 4.5% and remained just around 4% during the second semester in 2020. During 2021 the rate declined to 3.5%, but seemed to be increasing once again.





Figure 18: Atypical employment ethnic gap is widening

Atypical work arrangements, 2019/20/21

5.1.3 Flexitime is more common in high/middle occupations

Flexitime is far more common among management, professional and intermediate occupations, and allows the workers some valuable autonomy over their ways of working. Very few senior staff have the annualized or zero hours contracts that are more prevalent for workers in routine and semi-routine jobs.





Figure 19: Fexitime common in high/middle occupations

Atypical work arrangements, 2019/20/21



6 Conclusions and Recommendations

6.1 Conclusions

We examined in this report if the Covid-19 pandemic is narrowing or reinforcing existing inequalities among women and men in their exposure to precarious work.

Economic crises can increase the level of precarious employment if employers offer part-time jobs, temporary, fixed term or casual work, or zero hours contracts, when they would otherwise have provided full-time permanent positions.

Drawing on analysis of 2019/20/21 data, we showed that the risk of precarious work has increased for all groups of worker and particularly for women, ethnic minorities, and people employed in lower-level occupations.

We showed the importance of moving from aggregated to granulated analyses when employment trends are considered. For example, our initial results showed a decline in part-time working among employed men and women. However, this aggregate picture disguises a more complicated story about work-time, ethnicity and occupational class before and during the pandemic. The importance of looking at intersections of gender, ethnicity and class was reinforced when we considered which workers are in temporary jobs, who is working atypically, and the reasons they take these precarious jobs.

The risk of precarious work, and its intensification during the pandemic, are not random but highly dependent on gender, ethnicity, class and how they intersect.

6.2 Recommendations

In order to improve the working lives of everyone, particularly in the post-pandemic context, policies must take into account the fact that gender, ethnicity and class impact the likelihood of a person to be in precarious work. To be effective, policies to combat precarious work should therefore tackle the root causes of these structural inequalities, rather than simply address their manifestations.

Invest in universal free/affordable childcare and adult social care: the uneven distribution of unpaid labour like caring responsibilities and domestic work is the main reason women are more likely to be in part-time, low-paid and zero-hours contract work. Long-term sustainable investment in social infrastructure like nurseries, social care centres, domiciliary care and early years' education has the potential to not only create millions of jobs in these sectors but across the economy through an indirect effect, allowing many mothers to enter or progress in the labour market and into better jobs. More importantly, investment in social infrastructure results in a healthier, better educated and better cared-for population.



- **Reform Shared Parental Leave and Paternity Leave**: the uneven distribution of unpaid labour is not just one between families and wider society, but also and fundamentally between women and men. Parental and Paternity Leave should be designed to truly incentivise fathers to do more of the child-rearing, and for families to share caring responsibilities more equally.
- Introduce the right to flexible working from day one: the pandemic has accelerated the trend for flexible patterns of work, including remote working and flexible work-time. However, as this report shows, flexibility was not experienced by all workers in the same way, with class as a big divide in the workers who were more or less likely to work in flexible arrangements that suited them. The right to request flexible work should be the default, with the onus of proof on employers should it be rejected.
- **Establish a well-resourced labour rights monitoring body**: legislation to protect workers and improve working conditions is crucial but insufficient on its own. Legal enforcement is key to ensure workers' rights are respected.
- Introduce Ethnicity Pay Gap reporting: ethnic minorities continue to experience worse working conditions and more precarious work than the white majority, across class and gender. The Gender Pay Gap reporting requirement should be extended to include ethnicity, and companies should be required to put in place plans to address these gaps.



7 The Project and its Data

Our project 'How is Covid-19 impacting women and men's working lives in the UK?' is funded by Health Data Research UK, as part of the rapid funding call to use and enrich the data within the Data & Connectivity National Core Study (NCS) capability. This report draws on the analysis of the 2019/20/21 releases of the UK Labour Force Survey (LFS). Respondents are interviewed for five successive waves at three-months intervals and approximately 20% of the sample is replaced every quarter. Four quarters releases are supported in a typical year: Jan-Mar | Apr-Jun | Jul-Sep | Oct-Dec. From 2020, additional non-calendar quarter data have been released in response to the context of the coronavirus pandemic. Besides the four calendar quarters per year, 2020 included another eight releases of data. The last two quarters in 2020 also included data for January and February 2021.

Our analyses consider only those in employment (current jobs) between 18-64 years old. Table 1 summarises the sample size and population estimates for all the data waves considered in this analysis.

| Quarter | Sample | Population estimates |
|-------------|--------|----------------------|
| Jan/Mar19 | 40,355 | 30,912,602 |
| Apr/Jun19 | 39,890 | 30,952,468 |
| Jul/Sep19 | 39,420 | 31,037,273 |
| Oct/Dec19 | 39,151 | 31,223,540 |
| Jan/Mar20 | 36,122 | 31,157,606 |
| Feb/Apr20 | 33,929 | 31,030,601 |
| Mar/May20 | 32,373 | 30,974,007 |
| Apr/Jun20 | 32,177 | 30,943,976 |
| May/Jul20 | 31,882 | 30,969,614 |
| Jun/Aug20 | 31,356 | 30,935,563 |
| Jul/Sep20 | 31,460 | 30,859,988 |
| Aug/Oct20 | 32,063 | 30,838,796 |
| Sep/Nov20 | 33,106 | 30,869,037 |
| Oct/Dec20 | 33,659 | 30,802,577 |
| Nov20/Jan21 | 34,583 | 30,815,550 |
| Dec20/Feb21 | 35,723 | 30,861,847 |
| Jan/Mar21 | 36,903 | 30,885,862 |
| Feb/Apr21 | 37,766 | 30,896,585 |

Table 1: Sample size and population estimates

Source: UK Labour Force Survey (Person)

It is important to note that the LFS responses are weighted to official population projections that pre-date the Covid-19 pandemic. This particularly affects estimates for ethnicity. This implies that



levels and differences in levels should be used with caution. Despite this, sample level estimates show a similar trend regarding gender differences for each group.

8 For more information

- Luis D. Torres: luis.torres@nottingham.ac.uk;
- Tracey Warren: tracey.warren@nottingham.ac.uk;
- Annegreet Veeken: annegreet.veeken@nottingham.ac.uk
- The UK Women's Budget Group sara.reis@wbg.org.uk
- Project website

9 Acknowledgements

- The project 'How is Covid-19 impacting women and men's working lives in the UK? Expanding an existing award (on the work of working-class women in the UK) to explore all workers' is part of the Data and Connectivity National Core Study, led by Health Data Research UK in partnership with the Office for National Statistics and funded by UK Research and Innovation (project ref HDRUK2020.137).
- The research data are distributed by the UK Data Service (safeguarded data version). The original data creators, the depositors, the copyright holders, the funders and the UK Data Archive bear no responsibility for the analysis or interpretation of the data made in this paper.

10 Citation

Torres L, Warren T, Veeken A and the UK Women's Budget Group (2021). How has the risk of precarious work evolved in the Covid-19 UK? Research Summary 2. Nottingham: Nottingham University Business School.